

MRS. ASHER CLINTON LANGWORTHY
6401 HODGES DRIVE
SHAWNEE MISSION, KANSAS 66208

JUNE 10, 1975

CAT
Toronto

MR. LAURENCE SICKMAN, DIRECTOR
WILLIAM ROCKHILL NELSON GALLERY OF ART
45TH AND OAK
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

DEAR MR. SICKMAN:

THE ENCLOSED ARTICLE TABULATING THE FINAL RESULTS OF
THE CHINESE EXHIBITION IN TORONTO WAS SENT TO ME BY A
FRIEND IN TORONTO.

SHE KNEW HOW PROUD WE (ED.) WERE THAT KANSAS CITY
WAS SELECTED AS A SITE FOR THE EXHIBITION.

VERY TRULY YOURS,

Georgia H. Langworthy

MRS. A. C. LANGWORTHY

RONALD ANDERSON

A suit of jade

Canadians may sometimes appear to be a materialistic and overly cautious people, especially in matters involving money. Occasionally, though, some individual or group carries through an undertaking that indicates that Canadians can be financially daring and resourceful—and successful.

The final act of such an undertaking occurred this week when the Chinese Exhibition Council turned over to the Royal Ontario Museum the profits from the public showing of archeological finds made in China during the past 25 years.

The profits, or the excess of receipts over expenditures, amounted to \$601,652. Profits of this magnitude were quite unexpected. In fact, Noah Torno, chairman of the council, said there was a general expectation that the council would be fortunate if it broke even or showed only a small loss.

Borrowed funds

Two business corporations that initially had considered underwriting the exhibition, held at the ROM from Aug. 8 to Nov. 16, 1974, scurried away in panic at the last moment. The council, which had been established by the ROM to manage the exhibition, was forced to borrow funds from the museum itself as a means of bridging the gap between the first outlays and the first receipts.

An exhibition of this type, involving priceless treasures that were insured for \$50-million, is a risky enterprise. There was no assurance that gate receipts would cover the heavy costs.

Luckily, the council had the services of a man whose name is almost a synonym for prudent financial management. Maxwell Henderson, former auditor-general of Canada, estimated the necessary operating budget for the exhibition at \$1.1-million. The federal Government, which had prepared the way for the showing of Chinese archeological treasures through its cultural exchange program, provided a grant of \$151,000 to help meet the costs of insurance. The Ontario Government provided a grant of \$150,000.

Mr. Henderson made an arrangement with the ROM board of trustees to draw up to \$400,000 from the museum's funds, to pay for chartered planes, guards and additional insurance costs. Only \$150,000 of this amount was actually drawn upon.

435,000 visitors

The council estimated that sales of 320,000 tickets were needed to break even. It set a target of 500,000 visitors and succeeded in attracting 435,000. The first receipts were used to repay the ROM advance. By the time the exhibition ended, the council had incurred total liabilities of \$1,172,387 and had taken in \$1,774,039 in gate receipts and government grants.

"As quickly as the money came in off the turnstiles, we invested it in trust company guaranteed investment certificates," said Mr. Henderson. "At 10.5 per cent interest, the profits from the exhibition will earn about \$60,000 a year."

Final disbursements and receipts (from sale of packing cases) now have been completed, and the profits are to be set aside as an income-earning fund to finance future exhibitions.

The Chinese exhibition was a particularly ambitious one. When the Nationalist Government retreated to Taiwan, it took with it virtually all the extensive archeological treasures accumulated in China up to 1949. Mr. Torno predicts that Taiwan will some day become a province of China and the People's Republic of China will then regain control of these treasures. Meanwhile, the work of excavation is proceeding under the Communist regime. The 400 objects displayed at the ROM, representing 600,000 years of Chinese history, all have been found since 1949.

European showing

Of particular interest was a burial suit made of jade, dated as late second century B.C., and a bronze representation of a flying horse. Although these and other treasures were shown at exhibitions in Paris, London, Vienna and Stockholm before coming to Canada, the ROM exhibition drew large numbers of visitors from the United States, as well as from many parts of Canada.

The Royal Ontario Museum was the logical place in Canada to show the Chinese artifacts, since the ROM already has the largest collection of Chinese art and archaeological treasures in the western world.

After leaving Toronto, the Chinese exhibition moved to Washington and now is in Kansas City. It will then go to San Francisco and Australia before returning to Peking.

To the Chinese, according to Mr. Torno, the cultural exchange program is a means of building contacts with western industrial nations. "China is interested in doing business," he said. "As a result of the exhibition, we learned a good deal about negotiating with the Chinese."

Stylized manner

Official discussions are carried out in a stylized manner, with the Chinese speaking in their own language and using an interpreter. "After the official meetings, they become delightful people. They were pleased with the way in which the ROM displays its own Chinese collection, and with the care that is taken with them."

As part of the cultural exchange, Canada has sent an exhibition of Canadian landscape paintings to China. A student and professional exchange has been established, and the Vancouver Symphony Orchestra is to visit China.

Meanwhile, Walter M. Tovell, director of the ROM, is thinking about new exhibitions. One tempting project would be a display of the Peruvian gold objects. Another would be an exhibition of the Iranian jewel collection.

Dr. Tovell, who regards the ROM as Canada's equivalent of New York's Metropolitan Museum, is able to contemplate such exhibitions because of the success of the Chinese display.

Although risks were taken in accepting the challenge of a \$1.1-million expenditure, the profits have given the ROM the financial base for expanding its exhibition activities without searching for elusive corporate sponsors.

WILLIAM ROCKHILL NELSON GALLERY OF ART
The William Rockhill Nelson Trust
ATKINS MUSEUM OF FINE ARTS
4525 OAK STREET
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64111
(816) 561-4000


Memo To: Mr. Laurence Sickman
From: Sherwood Songer
Date: September 25, 1974

Maraya Yurko who was the secretary to Guy Pearse has been given the position of supervising the Toronto exhibit operation since Mr. Guy Pearse had to return to England.

Miss Yurko set up appointments and I visited with the following people on August 28, 1974:

Guy Pearse - Exhibition Manager
J. Hal Harvey - Assistant Controller of Operations
Dr. Walter M. Tovell - Director of ROM Curatorial
David Turnball - Accountant for the exhibition

The people discussed the stages through which the exhibit was acquired, problems encountered in the process of setting up the exhibit all the different phases of security and the accounting procedures they had established for the exhibit.


Sherwood Songer

SS:rcw

WILLIAM ROCKHILL NELSON GALLERY OF ART
The William Rockhill Nelson Trust
ATKINS MUSEUM OF FINE ARTS
4525 OAK STREET
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64111
(816) 561-4000

Memo To: Mr. Laurence Sickman
From: Sherwood Songer
Date: September 25, 1974

When you are in Toronto note the following:

Plastic box at the exit of the exhibit for persons wishing to make a contribution for the support of the exhibit.

Catalog sales desk at the entrance and exit of the exhibit.

Persons wishing to leave the exhibit and return on the same day had an invisible mark stamped on the back of their hand.

Everything was covered or encased with 3/8 or 1/2 inch plexiglass.

Arrows on the walls to keep the public moving in a general pattern to aid in the flow of traffic.

Humidistats in exhibit cases.

T. V. camera in two locations with a central control monitor accessible to the exhibit.

Tickets were sold at a booth outside the building to expedite sales and traffic flow.

They were selling a catalog to one out of five persons who visited the exhibit. A supplement was placed in each catalog that had been edited by the Chinese.

They stopped selling tickets one hour before closing time each day.


Docents were trained to make lectures in their permanent collection area with pictures of the Archaeological Findings Exhibit used for comparison with their permanent collection. They provided three lectures each day at a cost of \$1.00 per person. This proved to be very profitable as well as educational.

The show provided by the Chinese was loaded with propaganda and they were not stressing it to the public at \$1.00 per person.

The income from the picture show was not as large as the docent lecture income.

China insists on 100% insurance payment on any damaged object and they keep the art piece, it is not relinquished to the insurance company.

The Toronto Museum would like for the Nelson Gallery to purchase their cases at a considerable discount.


Sherwood Songer

SS:rcw

This letter arrived Sept. 26th

The Chinese Exhibition

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China

The Royal Ontario Museum
August 8th — November 16th, 1974

September 18, 1974

Mr. Laurence Sickman
Director
William Rockhill Nelson Gallery of Art
4525 Oak Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64111

Dear Larry:

Thank you very much for your letter of 9th September, 1974 and for your kind remarks concerning the opening ceremonies. I note that you, Marc Wilson your curator of Oriental Art, and John Lowry your Gallery designer are planning to visit Toronto the 26th, 27th and 28th of this month, arriving around noon on the 26th. Perhaps you could confirm this to the Director of the Museum, Dr. W.M. Tovell and at the same time you could advise him which members of our staff you would like to talk to. You will appreciate that the staff are not normally in their offices after 5 p.m. on a Friday. You should note also that our special security arrangements for the Exhibition are such that we are unable to receive any visitors before 10 a.m. on Saturday or 12 noon on Sunday.

We would be most happy to welcome Mrs. Bunting. It is our practice to receive distinguished visitors in the hour before the Exhibition is open to the public Tuesdays through Fridays i.e. between 9 and 10 a.m. If this would be convenient to her (and possibly as a quiet walk through to you and your colleagues) would you please let Dr. Tovell know so that we can make the arrangements.

Rose and I very much enjoyed seeing you here in Toronto. I hope that we will hear from you again during your visit here later in the month.

With kindest personal regards.

Yours sincerely,

Noah Torno
Chairman
Chinese Exhibition Council

cc Dr. W.M. Tovell
Director, Royal Ontario Museum

ROM Royal Ontario Museum • 100 Queen's Park, Toronto, Ontario M5S 2C6, Canada
Telephone 416 928

中
華
人
民
共
和
國
出
土
文
物
展
覽

The Chinese Exhibition

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China

The Royal Ontario Museum
August 8th – November 16th, 1974

August 17, 1974.

Mr. Laurence Sickman,
Director,
Nelson Gallery and Atkins
Museum of Fine Arts,
4525 Oak Street,
Kansas City, Missouri,
U.S.A. 64111.

Dear Mr. Sickman:

It was a great pleasure for me to meet Mrs. Kenneth Spencer and yourself during your recent visit to Toronto on the occasion of the opening of the Chinese Exhibition.

As you may know, the Exhibition has got off to a most successful beginning, our admission in the first week being just short of 30,000. Noah Torno has mentioned to me that should the Chinese Exhibition go to the Nelson Gallery, it is conceivable that you might have need of my services and experience. I realize, of course, that at the present, there is no formal decision. However, should you wish to get in touch with me after the 28th of August, I include my home and office addresses in London.

Carlton Cleeve Limited is a company created by two colleagues, Philip Taverner, Peter Saabor, and myself, to provide a planning and management capability to galleries, museums and similar institutions when they may have to undertake the mounting of a major loan exhibition. In addition, our company is also much

... 2


Mr. Laurence Sickman - 2 -

August 17, 1974.

involved with the inception and creation of loan exhibitions between different countries. We are most fortunate to have very close contacts with two major British newspapers, The Times, and The Sunday Times, with whom we are already working.

With best wishes.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Guy Pearce', with a large, stylized flourish at the end.


Guy Pearce,
Exhibition Manager.

GP:my

Business: Carlton Cleeve Limited,
Nestor House,
Playhouse Yard,
Blackfriars,
London, EC4V 5EX, England.
Telephone: 248-2365

Home: 52 Madrid Road,
Barnes,
London, SW13 9PG, England.
Telephone: 748-3956

THE MOST EXCITING EXHIBITION THAT'S EVER BEEN SEEN IN NORTH AMERICA. DON'T MISS IT.



Jade funeral suit of the princess Tou Wan: Late 2nd century B.C. Length 5 ft. 7¾ ins.



Two parcel-gilt bronze figures of leopards inlaid with silver and gems: Late 2nd century B.C. Height 1½ ins.



Stele of white marble representing Buddha between his attendants: A.D. 550—577. Height 2 ft. 4½ ins.



Bronze monster mask and ring: 5th century B.C. Height 1 ft. 5½ ins.



Pottery figure of a seated woman: 221—207 B.C. Height 2 ft. 1½ ins.



Bronze figure of a flying horse: 2nd century A.D. Height 9¾ ins. Length 1 ft. 5¾ ins.

Pottery figure of tomb guardian (Wu-shih): 8th century A.D. Height 2 ft. 1¾ ins.



Incredible.
The Chinese Exhibition is great entertainment for everyone. You'll marvel at the 1800 year old flying horse. He's fashioned magnificently from bronze, and seems to pace through the air on the wings of a swallow.

You'll wonder at the strange and mysterious 2100 year old jade suit. It held princess Tou Wan in its magical power with an unfulfilled promise of eternal life. It is fashioned from 2160 tablets of rare jade threaded together with fine gold wire. It's astonishingly beautiful.

You'll see the little leopards. Exquisite miniatures inlaid with silver and gems. They were entombed with princess Tou Wan 21 centuries ago.

And you'll stand before ancient Wu-shih, a 1200 year old warrior

king whose task it was to terrify evil spirits. Triumphant he tramples a cowering demon underfoot.

They're coming to the Royal Ontario Museum. Part of a spectacular exhibition of 385 rare ancient Chinese treasures, on loan from the People's Republic of China.

It's never been seen before in the Western Hemisphere. You may never be able to see it again. Imagine.

Half a million years of thrilling ancient Chinese history that spans the ages between Peking man and Kublai Khan has come to Canada. And it will be on display for just 14 weeks at the Royal Ontario Museum in Toronto.

It's a once-in-a-lifetime chance.

Don't miss it.

'THE' CHINESE EXHIBITION

The Exhibition of
Archaeological Finds
of the People's Republic
of China.

Aug. 8-Nov. 16
ROYAL ONTARIO MUSEUM
TORONTO, ONTARIO

The Exhibition of
Archaeological Finds
of the People's Republic
of China.



THE CHINESE EXHIBITION

OPENING HOURS

Monday – Saturday 10 am. – 10 pm.

Sunday 12 noon – 10 pm.

Last admission one hour before closing time.

ADMISSION

Adults	\$2.50
Accompanied Children	\$1.00
Students* Senior Citizens*	\$1.00
Advance Booking	\$4.50

* Identification must be shown.

ADVANCE BOOKING BY MAIL

To ease the problem of line-ups for visitors, particularly those from out of town, advance tickets may be obtained by mail. These tickets permit immediate entrance and will be issued in limited numbers for use during the normal opening period between these hours:

Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday 10:30 – 11:15 am. or 11:15 am. – 12 noon or 4:00 – 5:00 pm. or 5:00 – 6:00 pm.
Saturday, Sunday, Monday 7:30 – 8:15 pm. or 8:15 – 9:00 pm.

Advance booking tickets cost \$4.50 (Canadian or U.S.). They are good for single entry at a specific time and date only, and are non-refundable. See order form. Sorry, no telephone bookings can be accepted.

INTRODUCTION TO CHINA

The ROM Members' Committee has organized programmes of ROM's own famous Chinese collection. These programmes will acquaint the visitor with Chinese cultural history and thus enlarge appreciation of The Chinese Exhibition. Each programme will accommodate a maximum of 30 persons and last approximately 45 minutes. The cost is \$1.00 per person and the times are as follows:

* Monday to Friday: 11:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m.

Tuesday to Friday: 7:30 p.m.

*(Except September 2 and October 14)

Groups may book at other times by prior arrangement. Please make requests on order form. This programme is also offered in the French language.

GROUP TOURS

Groups can take advantage of advance booking tickets to gain immediate entrance to the exhibition. For further information please write: Information Services, Royal Ontario Museum.

SCHOOL TOURS

Special arrangements to welcome school groups have been made on Mondays. To prepare students for their visit, a visual aids teaching kit is available for \$10. Interested teachers please write: Education Department, Royal Ontario Museum.

CATALOGUE

A descriptive catalogue containing colour plates and black and white photographs will be on sale for \$4.50. Mail orders will be accepted at \$5.50 which includes postage and handling. Please make cheques payable to CEC – ROM and address to Information Services.

EXHIBITION SALES AREA

Postcards, colour slides, books, posters, replicas, jewellery and other items associated with the Chinese Exhibition will be on sale.

CHECKING

These facilities are provided in the lower Rotunda of the museum. Visitors may enter The Exhibition carrying only a normal purse and catalogue. The museum reserves the right to examine such purses.

PHOTOGRAPHY

We regret that no photography is allowed within the exhibition.

TRANSPORTATION

The Museum is centrally located at Avenue Road and Bloor Street and is well served by public transportation:

Subway: Danforth-Bloor line – St. George Station (Bedford Rd. Exit) or Bay Station (Cumberland St. Exit).
Yonge-University line – Museum Station.

Please note that the University Avenue section of this line closes at 9:30 pm. each day and is closed all day Sunday.

Buses: Avenue Road route, Number 5, 5A and 5B.

The Museum does not have parking facilities but many public parking lots are located nearby.

ADVANCE BOOKING ORDER FORM

Please complete details below, detach and send to Advance Booking, Exhibition Programme, Royal Ontario Museum, 100 Queen's Park, Toronto M5S 2C6, Canada, *together with a*

cheque or money order payable to: CEC – ROM. Please write your name and address on back of cheque. Reservations must be made at least three weeks in advance.

NAME OF PERSON/ORGANIZER: _____

ORGANIZATION _____

ADDRESS _____

POSTAL CODE: _____ TELEPHONE _____

DATE: 1st choice: _____ 2nd choice: _____

TIME: 1st choice: _____ 2nd choice: _____

NO. OF TICKETS at \$4.50 each: _____ TOTAL: \$ _____

INTRODUCTION TO CHINA: If your group wishes to make arrangements for this programme, please complete the following details:

DATE: 1st choice: _____ 2nd choice: _____

TIME: 1st choice: _____ 2nd choice: _____

NO. OF TICKETS at \$1.00 each: _____ TOTAL: \$ _____

Please make cheque payable to CEC – ROM

In Toronto -

be sure to get
list of films.

Steve Radin

Dir. of media
Programs

CH

ee




Detail from a copy of
a wall painting from the tomb
of Princess Yung-t'ai
T'ang Dynasty, ca. 706 AD

The Chairman and the Directors of the
Chinese Exhibition Council—Royal Ontario Museum
request the pleasure of your company
at the Opening Ceremonies of
The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds
of the People's Republic of China
by His Excellency, The Right Honourable
Jules Léger, C.C., C.M.M., C.D.,
Governor General of Canada
on Wednesday, 7th August 1974 at 9:00 p.m.

Dress
Informal

ROTUNDA

SUMMER 1974 VOLUME 7 NUMBER 3 \$1.25



*The Great Chinese Exhibition
Arrives At The ROM*



ROTUNDA

the magazine of The Royal Ontario Museum
Volume 7, Number 3, Summer 1974

Focus Walter Kenyon 2
On the Ethics of Archaeological Excavation

Visiting the Chinese Exhibition at The ROM August 8-November 16

Background Barbara Stephen 4

A Bronze Bestiary Sara Irwin 12

Two Shang Dynasty Oracle Bones Chin-hsiung Hsü 14

Paradise Tube Doris Dohrenwend 16

A Seventh-century Loan Agreement Greg Whincup 18

Prince Yide's Horse Patricia Proctor 20

Visions of Cathay John Vollmer 22
The Mystique of Chinoiserie

The Growing Collections 30

Doorways to Antiquity Louis D. Levine 32
Early Iranian Settlements Surrender Some of Their Secrets



Detail of bronze Guang vessel in the form of a monster, excavated in 1959 at Shilou, Shansi Province, China. See page 12.

Noah Torno, M.B.E.
Chairman, Board of Trustees

Walter M. Tovell, B.A., M.S., Ph.D.
Director

Maxwell Henderson, F.C.A.
Director (Administration)

W.B. Scott, B.A., Ph.D.
Associate Director

The Main Building
100 Queen's Park
Information 928-3690

Sigmund Samuel Canadiana Building
14 Queen's Park Crescent West
Information 928-3710

McLaughlin Planetarium
100 Queen's Park
Information 928-8550

Membership Information

The public is invited to join the Royal Ontario Museum and share in its activities. Membership includes free subscription to ROTUNDA; invitations to previews of exhibitions and new galleries; exclusive access to licensed Members' Lounge; free admission to lectures; 10% reduction at Book and Gift Shop; advance information on coming events. Annual Membership is \$25, Family Membership \$40, Life Membership \$500. For further information write: Membership Secretary, Royal Ontario Museum, 100 Queen's Park, Toronto M5S 2C6, or telephone 928-3704.

Editorial Staff

Alex MacDonald, Editor

Olive Koyama, Associate Editor

Elizabeth Lopianowska, Associate Editor

Marsha Rodney, Editorial Assistant

Leighton Warren, Chief Photographer

Marie Hands, Designer

Published quarterly by the Royal Ontario Museum, 100 Queen's Park, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 2C6.

Subscription \$4.00 a year. Single copies \$1.25 each, back issues \$1.50.

Second class mail registration
number 1531

Requests for information cover a wide range of topics in a public institution such as ours. Many are of a technical nature, dealing with simple—or not so simple—matters of fact. A spider, for example, will arrive in the mail with the query “Is it poisonous?” Or a small boy will appear with a large rock, and the fervent hope that it is a meteorite, preferably a golden meteorite.

Occasionally, however, someone will raise a question of a fundamentally different nature, a question that asks us not what we know, but who we are. And then we must stand forth to be counted. The following letter was written in response to such a question.

Editor

On the ethics of archaeological excavation

Dear colleague:

Your recent letter asked for my views “concerning archaeological excavation; particularly the excavation of burials”. I find this question very difficult to answer, and for a variety of reasons. Primarily, the difficulty seems to arise from some confusion as to the nature of archaeology. Archaeology, at least to me, is that intellectual discipline that is concerned with man and his works.

Whatever merit it might have, is derived from the fact that it can—and frequently does—give answers that have historical and social validity. For example, the inhabitants of the newly discovered Americas were first seen as the “lost tribes of Israel”, the “survivors of Alexander the Great’s fleet”, etc., etc. Endless books and articles were written to prove that the mighty earthworks of the Ohio and Mississippi Valleys, among others, could not have been constructed by the “simple savages” that occupied those regions in the early historic period. This entire edifice was only overturned when the archaeologist appeared on the scene with a sharp trowel and, what is infinitely more important, a mind that had been trained and disciplined by a lengthy exposure to such things as cultural and physical anthropology. Only then could

the biological and the social or cultural history of an area be worked out.

Here in Ontario one branch of human history can be traced back in some detail for over 12,000 years before the landing of Columbus in the "New" world. And the people who scattered their remains in such richness and variety across the face of the province are "Men out of Asia". They are not refugees from the lost continents of mythology; nor are they misplaced Israelites. They are simply an Asiatic branch of a tree that embraces all mankind. If I belabour this point, I do so because I know beyond any reasonable doubt that *all* branches of humanity are important; for we are all variations on a single theme.

Now the purpose of all this moral philosophy is to emphasize the fact that the history of a people does not flow spontaneously out of that people and into a history book. A considerable amount of midwifery is always involved. And since most of the chapters in the story of man were never written down, the bringing forth has to be done by an archaeologist. In other words, if we are to know the history of the Iroquois, for example, or of the Sioux, we must turn to the archaeologist. And my own feeling—admittedly biased—is that the history of these people, and all other peoples, is important. Therefore, archaeologists must be permitted to dig holes, for there is no other way in which the cultural and biological history of peoples can be made known.

And now to specifics. I think that much of the problem you are concerned with is derived from the fact that so much of archaeological research and reporting is concerned with things rather than with

people. That is, we sometimes create the impression that archaeology is concerned only with the metrical and statistical characteristics of projectile points, pot sherds, bones, and such things. We know—at least I hope we know—that archaeology is the study of people. But we do not always make this clear to the general public. And there, precisely, is the crunch. For I do not believe that any human society or group is indifferent to its own history.

We must be very careful, however, to treat archaeological remains with the dignity and reverence with which they should be treated. We must also make it clear to every segment of the population that we are concerned with the history of man and his works. If we do this (and it will not be easy) then the details of archaeological policy can be worked out as they arise. If we do not do this, we will be smitten with the jaw-bone of a political ass, and presumably an entire branch of humanity will be left to gaze longingly after the vanished continent of Mu.

Yours sincerely,

W.A. Kenyon

Office of the Chief Archaeologist

Visiting the Chinese Exhibition at The ROM

August 8 - November 16


Background

Barbara Stephen

The great "Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China" will be in Canada for more than three months and during that time the ROM hopes that half a million visitors will be able to see it. The idea for such an exhibition grew slowly over a number of years and was finally realized thanks to the work of many people both in North America and abroad. More than a decade has passed since the Far Eastern Department, alerted by reports and photographs of new archaeological finds in the Chinese journals, first expressed interest in the idea of an exhibition. Most recently, negotiations

carried on by France and Great Britain resulted in the final approval by their representatives in Peking of the impressive group of cultural treasures that now form the Exhibition.

When the ROM learned that the Exhibition was a reality and that it would be coming to Toronto, we also discovered that it would be the most extensive and complex exhibition ever held here. With congestion in the present museum building already critical, the problem of finding adequate display space was immediately appreciated. Once again the European Department has graciously relinquished the area of the Ar-





Facing page: Painted earthenware bowl 4" high, made c. 3000 B.C. by a potter of the neolithic Qinglianggang culture in Jiangsu Province, eastern China.

Left: A fragment of early 8th-century batik-dyed silk gauze excavated in 1968 at Astana, a stage of the Silk Road in western China. Above: A Qinglianggang bone fishing spear, 6 1/2" long, c. 4000 B.C., excavated in 1960.

Facing page: A Qingliangang polished stone knife, 9" long, with hafting holes.


Below: The excavation site of the neolithic town of Banpo (c. 4000 B.C.), protected by the hangar-like buildings of the Banpo Museum in Shansi Province, northern China.

mour Court, and even some of its gallery space behind, in the interest of the public, and every visitor to the Exhibition will have reason to be grateful to them. Further space was gained by advancing in time a planned renovation of Exhibition Hall. This area, originally designed as a simple structure to hold the Chinese Tomb complex now in the garden, had been equipped many years ago with a "temporary" partial second floor; it will now have a full second storey of more adequate dimensions, plus improved wiring and other facilities that

will benefit special exhibitions for years to come.

Before visiting the Exhibition itself, visitors should pause to consider both what it is and what it is not. The objects assembled are exclusively archaeological; that is, they have been preserved over the centuries or millennia in the ground until Chinese archaeologists during the past 25 years unearthed them. Only occasionally have pieces made of perishable materials been preserved after long burial—the textiles and documents from Sinkiang Province are rarities that sur-





vived the centuries only because of the preservative action of the dry soils of the area where they were found. For the most part, objects discovered by China's archaeologists are made of durable materials like ceramic or metal; the baskets and woodwork have vanished, though traces of them may remain captured in earth impressions or perhaps on the base of a pot. We are left to learn of the creative activity of people of the past mainly through study of a limited range of materials.

Nevertheless, the Exhibition presents a surprising variety of materials in objects made over an exceptionally long period of time. A cultural span of more than half a million years is no small achievement, and reminds us of the almost inconceivable continuity of Chinese culture that underlies so many western conceptions of the Chinese people and their arts. The Chinese organizers have deliberately excluded any of the graceful arts of the later periods which would be more easily recognizable to western viewers—the elegant ceramics with enamel decoration that so profoundly influenced later European taste, the carved lacquers and cloisonné of the Ming and Qing Dynasties. These later periods, beginning in 1368 A.D., are relatively recent history, and the Exhibition is restricted to earlier times.

The Chinese organizers have


presented each host country with a scheme for the Exhibition which lists each object in an order at once chronological and grouped by the site of excavation. Not every country has observed this strict order in the arrangement of the Exhibition; in London there were some modifications to the Chinese scheme, reflected in the catalogue. The ROM elected to adhere to the Chinese plan very closely, both because it was simple and logical in terms of our space, and because it was the way the Chinese organizers wished to present their own material to the Canadian public.


Setting the mood for the Exhibition itself are a number of large photographs showing some of the major cultural monuments of China, including museums, and giving a glimpse of the archaeological activity that made the show possible. Also subsidiary to the main part of the Exhibition is a display of publications, all of them reflecting the serious scholarship of the archaeologists and their teams who have excavated the objects on display and studied the sites. These publications have alerted scholars in the West to the activity of their Chinese colleagues, and have permitted Western archaeologists to keep knowledge of Chinese antiquity up to date. Archaeological finds have been published both carefully and rapidly, often as preliminary notices in journals fol-

lowed by more intensive reports.

The earliest objects in the Exhibition, while visually less exciting than most of the later materials, are some of the most meaningful in terms of our growing knowledge of man and his origins. The finds of Peking Man suggest a direct relationship with later populations in the same area who still exhibit some of his physical characteristics. Peking Man remains better known than his recently discovered relative, Lantian Man, who seems to have lived rather earlier and has been assigned an approximate age of 600,000 years. We are left to imagine the cultural condition of these ancestral men from a few heavy tools, but evidence of the use of fire is suggestive of some appreciation of the rudimentary creature comforts.

Even more dramatic have been finds during the last 25 years from the neolithic period when men became settled farmers. Until recent years it was impossible to reconstruct an accurate picture of the development of these farming communities and local cultures in ancient China because only a few sites had been excavated. Now, however, the patterns of early settlement are much more clearly defined, and knowledge of the crops grown, the kind of domesticated animals used for food, and the living conditions of the people is considerable. We know that many of them lived in reasonable com-





Facing page: Shang Dynasty glazed vase, 11" high, c. 1500 B.C.—one of the earliest high-fired glazed ceramics made by man. Excavated in 1965 near Zhengzhou, Henan Province.

Left: A model of the head of Lantian Man, one of the earliest inhabitants of China. Parts of his skull were excavated at Lantian near Sian, northern China.

fort, in snug and well-designed houses, and took good advantage of the natural conditions of the particular areas in which they lived. We also know they produced a wide range of serviceable utensils often decorated with colourful designs or made in imaginative and even amusing shapes. The Exhibition contains a fascinating group of tools and ceramics made by China's early farmers, and some of the efficient tools have an elegance of design that remains artful and impressive today.

The years before the bronze-using Shang Dynasty are grouped by China's historians under the term Primitive Society, but with the advent of metal came a pro-


found change in the social order and the introduction of what the Chinese term Slave Society. The Shang legacy to the Exhibition is a number of superbly cast bronze vessels decorated with a repertoire of snarling animal masks, predatory birds, or stylized beasts with gaping jaws. These animals may reflect the interests of the rulers of the time who were given to holding hunts during which large numbers of wild animals were slaughtered. The Shang are known from historical records to have launched military expeditions against their neighbours, and recent excavations have confirmed the establishment of Shang authority and culture in distant regions. The Exhibition contains

bronzes from some provincial sites in a style based on the metropolitan one current in the capital but also evocative of other places and other traditions.

Later Bronze Age sites have been extensively investigated in recent years. Of particular interest are finds from some of the many minor states which flourished under local rulers during most of the first millennium B.C. Styles range from the sophisticated to the less finished, but most of the pieces on display reflect a mastery of the handling and finishing of cast bronze objects that is a source of wonder to modern experts in the subject. These are aristocratic arts *par excellence*, their finish, subject matter and style reflecting

Facing page: Top: ROM's Chinese Archaeological Research Team whose articles appear in this issue; from left to right, B. Stephen, G. Whincup, C.-H. Hsü, P. Proctor, S. Irwin, D. Dohrenwend. Bottom: Floor plan of the Exhibition at the ROM.

Below: Bone needle, 6½" long, c. 4000 B.C., excavated at Banpo in 1960.



the varying fortunes of the nobility who commissioned them, and the varying skills of the local craftsmen in the different states. A haunting evocation of the lively arts of about 2500 years ago is the sound of a set of bronze bells of the period; this is the sound of past ceremonies, thin and antique, reaching across the centuries because of the durability of the bronze instruments designed to produce it.

The period of Feudal Society begins in the first millennium B.C. and covers the remainder of the Exhibition. It covers the period of the Warring States and of the Qin and Han Dynasties, the time when China moved to the establishment of a strongly centralized government through the agency of a succession of determined rulers. Chinese authority during the Han Dynasty reached farther than ever before, and the Exhibition contains some remarkable finds from both the north-west and the south revealing that people in these areas were being exposed to the mainstream of Chinese culture more than two thousand years ago. There can be no more dramatic statement of the wealth and refinement of the metropolitan arts of the Han Dynasty than the finds from the tombs of the prince of Zhongshan and his princess. The lavishness and profusion of the art objects found in these tombs indicate a life of luxury that is echoed in the provision for the dead in these underground palaces. The jade suits in which this couple was buried are fascinating in several ways; the exquisite workmanship of the ancient craftsmen, requiring years of man-hours to complete; the naive belief that by meeting eternity encased in jade, bodily preservation would be ensured; and the modern awareness that the fortune squandered on these strange garments was drawn from the labour of the workers in this small state.


After the suffocating luxury of these finds it is a relief to look at some of the less overwhelming products of Han craftsmanship,

particularly the unique parade of bronze horses and carts. Han interest in good horses was exceeded only in the later Tang Dynasty, but animated three-dimensional representations of horses are rare in Han. The static beasts harnessed to the carriages form a striking contrast to the unique "flying" horse. The artist who modelled the original horse created a work highly unusual in its time; balanced on one hoof, this animal paces through time like some heavenly charger borne magically on the back of a bird.

The later sections of the Exhibition are housed in areas that continue the emphasis on groupings of objects from individual finds. Notable is the treasury containing pieces from a hoard of gold and silver objects found in 1970 in suburban Sian. A group of burial figures from the tomb of the young princess Yongtai is accompanied by a copy of a wall painting from the antechamber of her tomb. Nearby is a superb horse from the tomb of a male relative who died around the same date, all of these figures in the vigorously naturalistic style of the early eighth century. These exhibits give an important insight into life in the Tang capital—the burial figures modelled after the people and animals active in a dynamic moment in Chinese history, the hoard suggestive of the grandeur of the furnishings of a great noble house of the time.

The ceramics featured in the exhibits from the tenth century and Song Dynasty contrast in their simplicity of form and decoration with the richly ornamental character of the Tang objects. Visitors alert to the history of Chinese ceramics will have noted the glazed and hard-fired vase from the Shang site of Zhengzhou, dated mid-second millennium B.C., which is the modest ancestor of the fine green-glazed wares of the tenth century and later. Some of the Yuan Dynasty pieces are again reminiscent of Tang in the use of rich decoration, now executed in brilliant underglaze blue.

The Exhibition merits not one visit but many. For this timely issue of *Rotunda*, members of the Far Eastern Department who have been working on the Exhibition have made a selection of pieces that reflect their involvement with particular aspects of the ROM's own collections, and their descriptions which follow give some idea of the wealth of information associated with each of the 385 objects on display.



The ritual bronze vessels from the Shang Dynasty (c. 1523-1028 B.C.) are among the most fascinating and perplexing objects ever made in metal. This particular example in the form of a monster comes from the border of Shansi and Shensi Provinces, and is just one of the many vessels excavated in recent years from sites far removed from Anyang in Henan Province, which was the last capital of the Shang Kings (c. 1300-1028 B.C.).

This type of vessel is called a *Guang*. It was probably used either to heat or hold wine offered to the spirits of the ancestors dur-

ing sacrifices. Its shape and decoration are most unusual. The ornament on bronzes dating from the latter part of the Shang Dynasty is as a general rule symmetrically arranged, covering nearly the entire surface of the vessel, and the background is filled with a spiral motif.

The *taotie* mask plays an important part in the design on the majority of vessels of this period. It is the mask of an imaginary animal which according to later literature had evil-averting powers; it is usually divided down the centre by a vertical flange and can also be interpreted as two con-

fronting profile dragons. Real animals also form an important part in the composition of the designs, but are so stylized as to be almost unrecognizable. Among the most common are tigers, water buffalo, birds, elephants, cicadas and many forms of dragons. This *Guang* lacks nearly all these features and its decoration is a mixture of realistic and highly stylized animals. On each side is a clearly distinguishable crocodile pursuing a beast with a snake body and dragon head. On the foot are abstracted fish, and below the crocodile and snake-bodied dragon are some strange reptilian

A Bronze Bestiary

Sara Irwin




creatures. The head is impossible to define as portraying any one particular animal, as it is composed of elements belonging to several beasts: bottle-shaped horns, pointed upturned nose, open mouth with bared teeth and small bulging eyes. When seen from above it has a curving body extending from it down the centre of the lid. Its tail is intertwined with the tail of another snake-bodied dragon. A bird with a hooked beak and a long attenuated body is placed back-to-back with the dragon. On either side of the vessel are two loops, possibly for passing a cord

through in order to suspend it.

The place where the *Guang* was found is on what is understood to have been the borders of the Shang domain and the territory belonging to the Zhou people, who overthrew the Shang Dynasty in about 1028 B.C. Although very little is known about the Zhou before they conquered the Shang, the existing evidence points to the fact that they had their own artistic tradition, and one explanation for the style of the *Guang* is that it is a synthesis of Shang and Zhou art. But this cannot be proved definitely until more is known about the early Zhou.

The discovery of these provincial bronzes of Shang date from sites as far away as Changning in the South of China, proves that the knowledge of bronze casting and the influence of Shang art extended over a much wider area of China than was previously thought. Like the *Guang* they all display their own peculiar characteristics, which proves they were not sent from the Anyang vicinity but manufactured locally. This extraordinary *Guang* is just one of the many beautiful and mysterious bronze vessels in the Chinese Exhibition which demonstrate the skill of the Shang craftsmen.





Two Shang Dynasty Oracle Bones

Chin-hsiung Hsü

The so-called "oracle bone inscriptions" are records, mainly of divinations, written on animal scapulae (almost always those of cattle in this particular period) and tortoise shells. They were inscribed in the Shang Dynasty during a period of about 273 years, from the transfer of the capital to Anyang by the King Pangeng (c. 1301 B.C.) until the Zhou conquest that ended the Dynasty (c. 1028 B.C.). The practice of bone divination was earlier than shell divination and existed throughout Northern China during the late neolithic period. Even to-day, some minority races in China still practice bone divination, but with the exception of a few pieces only during the Shang Dynasty were the bones inscribed.

The discovery of oracle bone inscriptions in 1899 was of the utmost importance for current studies in ancient Chinese history. It proved the previously unverified existence of the Shang Dynasty and the accuracy, right down to genealogical detail, of historical documents referring to it. Studies have revealed that some of the tortoise shells used in Shang times came from as far away as the Malaysian Peninsula, indicating contact between these two distant areas.

The subjects on which the

Shang people asked questions are numerous: the offering of sacrifice, the fortune of the coming night or week, hunting, travelling, military expeditions, enemy invasion, the appointment of officers, the weather, sickness, astronomical phenomena, agricultural affairs, dreams and even the sex of unborn babies. These provide us with a huge amount of information concerning their daily life and social structure, and the relationship between the Shang and their neighbours.

The omen was read from the crack that formed in the bone after it was singed with a heated point. In the beginning, the bone was merely singed, but in later times, in order that a vertical crack with a horizontal spur might form, various shapes of hollows were chiselled into the bone, most into the reverse face. The shapes of these hollows provide clues for dating.

Whether an omen was good or not depended on an agreement made between the diviner and the spirit of the bone. For example, the agreement might be made that if the horizontal spur pointed upward it was a good omen and if it pointed downward it was bad.

A complete divination sentence inscription contained four parts: the date of divination, the question, the judgement of the omen,

and the actual result. In most cases the last two parts were omitted.

Few oracle bones have survived intact, and it is rare that a whole cow scapula can be reconstructed from its pieces. The two that will be displayed here this summer were among 21 excavated in December 1971 at Anyang, the ancient capital. From the calligraphy, sentence structure and the style of the chiselled hollows, we know they were made in the reign of the King Wenwuding, traditionally believed to have ruled from c. 1226-1214 B.C. These dates may have to be revised because a piece of charcoal from the same pit was dated by the Carbon 14 method to 1115±90 B.C..

The inscriptions on these two bones concern the offering by specific rituals of sacrificial animals to the ancestors of the Royal Family. One of the sentences asks to whom to pray for help in getting rid of the illness of someone named Chen.


"Will it be all right to perform the Yu Ceremony for Chen to Father Yi, Zi and Mother Ren with pigs?"

We will never know whether or not Chen recovered, but it is from such scraps of information that much detail can be added to our understanding of Shang life and times.



Paradise Tube

Doris Dohrenwend



The 10¹/₂-inch long bronze tube or pole-sheath illustrated here, thought to have been a chariot ornament, is not unique in type. It is one of the most exquisite of its kind, however, and probably the most sure of provenance (Ding Xian in Hebei Province) and approximate date (Western Han Dynasty, 1st century B.C.).

The tomb and temple bronzes of the late Shang Dynasty from Anyang, such as the bronze bestiary described above, are tense in form, and charged with magico-religious feeling despite their static designs. Zhou Dynasty bronzes, on the other hand, many with longer and historically important inscriptions, had settled, by the 9th-8th centuries B.C. into a heavier formalism appropriate for use in feudal and state affairs. In the Late Eastern Zhou or Warring States Period of the 5th-3rd centuries B.C., the reign of bronze was over. Although bronzes continued to be cast, iron came increasingly into use. On some Late Zhou bronze vessels we can see, in their formalistic inlaid designs, beginnings of a taste for pure luxury rather than concern for religious and state ritual. On others are early pictorial efforts; reflections, no doubt, of lost works on walls and on silk. The tube in the Exhibition is notable for its blend of the Late Zhou inlaid bronze technique with a new passion for a more complex, semi-pictorial art, and also for its inclusion, in what is still a design with old mythical, but still potent, creatures of China's Bronze Age, of a new repertoire of real animal types—nearly all, moreover, in motion.

The material of the tube is bronze, but this is richly inlaid with gold, silver and turquoise, resulting in a densely "brocaded" surface effect. The decoration is organized in four bands or registers separated by simple mouldings, with a fluted band about the centre. The tube is finished above and below with Han zigzag or dog-tooth borders. Depressed circles and lozenges accent the

whole evenly. Each register offers a mythical, probably Taoist, celestial scene.

The largest figure in the top register is a relatively realistic rendering of a ponderous elephant—ridden, however, by three masked humans or xian immortals, curiously large-nosed and sporting antennae. Other chief animals here are a Chinese Pegasus or winged horse, a bear, and a dragon. Birds, hare, deer and feathered xian fly about and cavort in the "landscape"—an abstract space defined by gold-inlaid arabesques, evolved from Late Zhou dragon scrolls and readable as clouds or mountains of a definitely "other" world.

Most interesting in the second register from the top is a horse in flying gallop ridden by a suavely-capped figure turned right around backward in a "Parthian shot" at an oncoming tiger. This may be a Sinicization of more realistic West Asian scenes of royal hunts. The setting in all bands of decoration is similar. Owl, stag, ram, goat and wild boar are included this time in the animal inventory. The fringed, plant-like forms occur in other Han Dynasty compositions and are often interpreted as the Plant of Immortality (later, Fungus or Mushroom of Immortality) sought by Taoist adepts and others concerned with eternal life.

In the third scene, below the centre of the tube, is a top-knotted rider of that "ship of the desert" which emerged in Han art—the Bactrian or two-humped camel. The fourth and lowermost register is the most distinguished in the purely ornamental sense, as its featured creature is a giant peacock with a great fanning tail, its long thin legs overstepping the inevitable, if small, Han bear. The setting and tone is again that almost electrically energized wonderland of Han lacquer, bronze, wall and tomb art never before and never quite again presented with such élan, imagination and formal refinement.



拾文月別生利債主之利月滿日
即須送利左洵債主之利即須奉
利是若近弘不足取幸取白家財
及子平為執直仍指子前能用
債主手東西不在一印妻光利是
債主而和之弊校相為記

債主左

取債主之利

債主之利


債主之利

債主之利

拾文月別生利債主之利月滿日
即須送利左洵債主之利即須奉
利是若近弘不足取幸取白家財
及子平為執直仍指子前能用
債主手東西不在一印妻光利是
債主而和之弊校相為記

A Seventh-century Loan Agreement

Greg Whincup



During the Tang Dynasty (A.D. 618-907) Turfan, in China's far western province of Xinjiang, was a major stage on the "Silk Road," the caravan trail along which Chinese silks travelled to Western Asia and to Europe. Recent construction of new irrigation canals at Astana, near Turfan, led to the discovery of over 150 tombs dating from about A.D. 250 to A.D. 750. These tombs were excavated by Chinese archaeologists between 1963 and 1969. Examples of the greatest treasures found in them are included in the Chinese Exhibition: silks, the very silks for which China was then renowned throughout Eurasia, and paper manuscripts.

Various sorts of manuscripts were found. Such government records as a register of the names, dependents, and land holdings of every householder in the town; tax records; records of government land distribution; records of government grain storage; the official complaint of a man that his house had been robbed, along with the testimony of his maid; and even what amounts to the time sheets of workers in a government vineyard. A large number of the manuscripts are private documents: a rent-collection notice, a lease on some land, contracts for the purchase of hay and of slaves, and agreements for the loan of money and of silk cloth.

The photograph shows a loan agreement which is part of the Exhibition. It reads:

21st day of the 3rd year of the Zongzhang Period (16 April 670) Bai Huailuo of Shunyi Village borrows from Zuo Dongxi of Chonghua Village ten (10) pieces of silver at a monthly interest of one (1) piece of silver, interest payable on the 21st of each month. When Zuo requires the money, Bai must repay principal and interest forthwith. If he delays and does not repay it, Zuo may take Bai's household effects and livestock as he wishes to the value

of the money. Or he may take livestock . . . as collateral. If the debtor is not present his wife and children are responsible for repayment to the value of the money. The two parties agree to this contract and make their marks as a sign thereof:

Lender	Zuo Dongxi
Borrower	Bai Huailuo
Guarantor	Yan Shiluo
Witness	Zhang Zhidian
Witness	Su Wenda

Bai Huailuo owes Zu Dongxi one (1) good date tree.

Chinese farmers, like many Canadian farmers, often lived much of the year on credit. They had to borrow for seed and tools in the spring, and at such a rate of interest—here it is 120% p.a.—that in the Fall they had to sell so much of their produce to repay the loan that a new loan became necessary. And so on.

This contract was recovered with many others from the tomb of Zuo Dongxi. Zuo's official position was that of an officer of the border guard; he was also a usurer. In the large number of contracts and agreements found in his tomb we see him buying slaves and other commodities and lending money and silk at 10% and 15% per month. Bai Huailuo was evidently a regular customer; four years earlier, on 4 January 666, he had borrowed 24 pieces of silver.

In many cases, land was put up as surety on the loan, and bad years saw many farmers lose all or part of their land to their creditors. Their hardships, it must be said, did not go entirely unnoticed. Official reports were made, and occasionally orders would come down cancelling both private debts and tax debts. Zuo, however, had a solution to that: some of his loan agreements had provisions that specifically excluded them from such amnesties.





Prince Yide's Horse

Patricia Proctor

News of the incomparable "blood-sweating" horses of Fergana reached China in the late second century B.C. when Zhang Qian returned from his mission to western Asia. The rugged ponies of the steppes could not hope to compete in the mind of the Chinese with these elegant long-legged steeds from Transoxiana. They were prized through the centuries and in the Tang Dynasty (A.D. 618-907) horses were brought from such places as Samarkand and Bukhara to improve the native stock. When the dynasty came to power there were only 5,000 horses in the pasture lands of Gansu, and horse breeding became a government concern. By mid-century their number had increased to 706,000. Most were bought and bartered from the Turkic peoples to the north but others, more exotic, were brought by foreign envoys from as far away as the Arab lands. Horses played their role in Tang diplomacy. In 643, 50,000 grizzled black-maned horses were presented by the Sirtardush Turks upon the conclusion of an alliance with China. When diplomacy failed and China went to war with her nomadic neighbours, horses were indispensable in carrying men and supplies. They also imparted status, and horsemanship was considered to be an aristocratic privilege. Tang courtiers hunted and played polo on horses specially selected for the Imperial Stables, but in 667 an edict was issued prohibiting artisans and merchants from riding.

This horse was excavated in

1972 from the tomb of the grandson of Gaozong and Empress Wu, Prince Yide, in the imperial burial grounds in Qian Xian near Sian. He died in 701 but was not given a burial befitting his rank until several years later when his father was on the throne. The multi-chambered tomb, its walls gaily painted, was lavishly furnished with over 1,000 artifacts.

This horse is one of the finest pieces to come from the tomb. The natural pose and skillful modelling of the powerful musculature are typical of the best tomb figurines of the first half of the eighth century. During this period, figures of robust sculptural quality produced in moulds and finished by hand were covered with the new three-colour glazes to furnish sumptuous tomb retinues for the high-born. The multi-racial population of Chang'an is portrayed in the figures of foreign traders and servants. Musicians, dancers, polo-players and hunters illustrate the amusements of the aristocracy. Contemporary fashions in dress and coiffure, often from the interior of Asia, are displayed on the elegant court ladies while the more mundane aspects of life are represented by the chickens, ducks and dogs from the farmyards. The camels and their drivers who traversed the Silk Road and brought wealth to Chang'an reflect the population's interest in foreign exotica. In their variety these tomb figurines reflect Tang life in all its diversity and provide the student with a rich source of information on Tang China.

Visions of Cathay

The Mystique of Chinoiserie



John E. Vollmer

The fabrics of the East have aroused enthusiasm in the West since ancient times. Compared to wool and linen, the silks of China and the cottons of India were unparalleled luxuries. They inspired legend and helped perpetuate the illusive vision of Cathay—that mysterious land, lying just beyond the eastern confines of the known world, inhabited by a quaint people who lingered in gossamer pavilions to drink tea, or dance, or make music within a landscape so beautiful no garden could approach its perfection. In Cathay, it seemed, most European values were turned upside down, in a civilization more elegant and humane than any the West had experienced.

Despite the great distances and ideological differences, trade provided an active interchange of ideas and goods. Oriental culture has had varying degrees of artistic significance in Europe in the centuries since silk first found its way to the markets of Rome. The European vision of Cathay, although inspired by Oriental luxuries, found expression in Western decorative traditions as well. Rarity and expense frequently encouraged Western craftsmen to indulge the taste for the exotic by creating chinoiserie—imitations, suggestions, or evocations of the mystery and luxury of Cathay.

During the first century B.C. China began to send her silks to the West along the famed Silk Road, which wound its way across Asia from the Chinese capital to the shores of the Mediterranean. Although silk passed through the hands of many middlemen, the Romans made no mistake in naming it. For them it was *serica*, from the Greek word for China. Within the next century, a second trade route to the Orient was opened across the Arabian Sea to the markets of southern India. There Roman merchants acquired fine Indian muslins, as well as Chinese



silks brought there by sea around South East Asia, or overland by way of Burma or Bactria. Silk clothes became fashionable in Rome in spite of the cost and the fact that moralists were scandalized by their gauze-like transparency.

Political weakness, barbarian incursions along the Silk Road, piracy in the Red Sea, and the shift of the centre of the empire eastward to Constantinople, did not diminish the demand for silk. During the fifth century, as the risks involved in transport increased, the price of silk rose accordingly, much to the consternation of those who had become accustomed to silk undergarments beneath their woollen togas. Hardship became crisis, when, in the 6th century, the Emperor Justinian fixed the price on imported silks, and the Persian merchants boycotted the Byzantine market in protest. Providentially, "certain monks from Serinda" just then smuggled silkworm eggs and the secrets of sericulture out of China to the West.

Beyond references to garments of sheer silk tissues, mostly woven in Syria from raw silk and silk threads imported from China, our knowledge of silk in Rome is uncertain. What impact Chinese woven silks had in Imperial Rome is unknown. However, the earliest preserved imitation of Chinese art in the West invites speculation. It is a fragment of a 3rd-century fabric, found at Dura-Europos, patterned with a Han style design but proving to be of Syrian manufacture.

From the 7th century, when Islam wedged itself between a weakened West and a reduced China, trade between East and West was seriously curtailed. Until the 13th century any Western vision of Cathay, however dim, was based on the writings of classical historians.

But with the rise of Mongol power in the 12th century, and the creation of an empire which extended from the Pacific to the frontiers of the Levant, trade wit-



Previous page: Chinese porcelain jar, c. 1650, embodying in its blue on white design what Europeans had come to expect of all Chinese porcelain, and an early 18th-century Chinese embroidered scroll with the bird and branch theme which became idiomatic of chinoiserie ornament.

Left: 18th-century Indian painted and resist-dyed cotton palampor reflecting in the lily motif at lower right (which resembles that in the Chinese embroidery above) the disparate sources supplied to Indian chintz painters serving European markets of the period. Right: English embroidered curtain c. 1700 with the idiomatic flowering tree motif.



nessed a brilliant revival. Throughout the 13th and 14th centuries textiles of finer quality and richer colours than those of Europe began to appear in significant quantity. Many of these highly prized fabrics are preserved in church treasuries.

The excitement caused by these silks stimulated emulation, particularly at Lucca in southern Italy, the leading European silk weaving centre of its day. Here silk designers attempted to capture the spirit of Oriental tissues in fabrics produced for European aristocracy. Animals and birds of Oriental derivation play amid a tangle of foliage, replacing the static arrangements of heraldic animals and noble heroes placed in rigid rows of roundels which had characterized Western textile design since Byzantine times. In time the dragons and phoenix are replaced by more familiar beasts and birds, but once released, the

sensuous energy of flowing patterns based on diagonal arrangements surges through textile designs for the next five hundred years.

Overtown of Mongol rule by the more conservative and inward-looking Ming Chinese, coupled with the rise of Turkish power, again separated Europe from direct contact with the East. During the 14th and 15th centuries only the city state of Venice managed to maintain trade with the Orient. Although Venice was forced to pay dearly for the privilege, the monopoly was extremely profitable.

The desire to break the Venetian monopoly sent explorers from other Western nations in search of access to the Eastern markets. Portugal led the way around Africa to the ports of Asia. In 1489 Vasco da Gama rounded the Cape of Good Hope. Nine years later the Portuguese arrived in Calcutta. Can-

ton was reached in 1517 and Japan in 1542. The latter part of the 16th century brought Spanish ascendancy over Portugal both politically and in the area of Eastern trade, and by the early 17th century the chartered trade companies of Holland and England made inroads into the Eastern trade and quickly over-shadowed their Iberian competitors.

Throughout the 16th and early 17th centuries most Europeans were unable to differentiate what was Chinese from that which was Indian, Japanese, South East Asian, or East Indian. Europeans had come to expect their porcelain to be blue and white, their lacquer ware to be black with lighter coloured ornament, and their fabrics to be gaily coloured on a light ground. The problem was not alleviated by the ubiquitous Oriental craftsmen who willingly changed traditional modes to fill the orders of foreign merchants



Above: Man's embroidered waistcoat, English c. 1700, echoing Chinese export embroideries. Facing page: embroidered coverlet, English early 18th-century, with Chinese-inspired monochromatic sprig design.

demanding visions of Cathay and not reflections of India, China, Japan, or other parts of the East.

Until the 17th century European collections of Oriental objects were rare and in the hands of a very exclusive minority, who prized these objects more as expensive curios than examples of exquisite design. The vast quantities of spices, silks, porcelains, and lacquer which poured into Western ports after 1600 radically altered this pattern. The volume of imports created a popular fashion. Craftsmen of varying skill imitated Oriental decoration found on lacquers, porcelains, and textiles. To supplement these sources of design, particularly for the less affluent, collections of oriental style designs were published and widely circulated. Many of these designs were "improved" and present an extraordinary mixture of Oriental and classical motifs, suitably exotic for the chinoiserie taste.

Increased imports brought greater discrimination. In the 17th century the first connoisseurs of orientalia appear. Interest in things Eastern sparked interest in the Chinese themselves. Travelogues of returning traders and the published journals of Christian missionaries helped correct many half truths and legends surrounding China.

Eastern fabrics became increasingly popular during the 17th century, and trade companies initiated organized textile trade. In 1643 the London directors of the East India Company complained that Indian fabrics sent to Europe were not particularly suited to the English market. The mordant painted and resist-dyed cottons traditionally reserved light designs against coloured grounds, but the "sad red grounds" were "not so well accepted." It was soon apparent that the only way to secure production of goods totally acceptable to the European markets was to send out patterns to India to be copied. Interestingly, these patterns were not in an English style, but based on ornament

in the Chinese taste, reflecting mid-century English expectation of what Eastern fabrics should ideally look like. The success of this venture is readily assessed by protests of European textile industries and attempts of the French and English governments to ban the importation of chintz in the late 17th and early 18th centuries.

The court of Louis XIV was instrumental in the spread of chinoiserie across Europe. The now destroyed *Trianon de Porcelaine*, a pleasure pavilion built for Madame de Montespan in 1670, was decorated with faience tiles with a blue and white colour scheme and hung with Chinese embroidered curtains. It summed up the exotic magnificance with which the French imbued the Chinese. The exotic style appealed to the contemporary French taste for allegory and symbolism which, by association, hinted at the universal sway of Sun King, for China was a great and magnificent empire whose emperor also ruled by divine favour. Financially, chinoiserie aided the court. The king was able

to acquire the best Oriental wares quite inexpensively, while private collectors were forced to buy at exorbitant prices. By encouraging French craftsmen to create chinoiseries for this inflated market, the crown stimulated the economy.

Chinoiseries of the Baroque period stressed the magnificence and opulence of an imagined Orient. A light veneer of exotic embellishment was sufficient to transform the pomp and majesty of European ornament into a vision of Cathay. A few pagods perched on classical strapwork added Oriental flavour to any textile design.

During the reign of Louis XV chinoiserie assumed another face. Wit and elegance replaced formality and opulence. French court painters developed a whimsical vision of Cathay. One of the most amusing products of this era is a set of chinoiserie tapestries made at Beauvais after cartoons prepared by the painter Boucher, which hung in the private apartments of Madame de Pompadour. The king commissioned a second

set which was sent to China. The reaction of the Ch'ien-lung emperor is not recorded, but one tapestry was still hanging in the summer palace at the time of the Boxer Rebellion and is now preserved in the Cleveland Museum.

The designs of the painter and draftsman Pillement well capture the spirit of Rococo chinoiserie. Many of his charming scenes were incorporated into designs for printed textiles, which no longer attempted to suggest the luxury of Eastern fabrics, but simply presented fantasy visions of Cathay.

Throughout the 17th and 18th centuries chinoiserie retained a very intimate flavour. From the major chinoiserie monuments built for French court favourites to the less ambitious, but equally sensuous, chintz-hung boudoirs of English "ladies of taste," the chinoiserie style evoked hedonistic escape. In decorative terms it realized a vision of Cathay where sensuous pleasure was to be found in every diverse place. The glossiness of lacquer, the handsome shapes and clear colours of porcelain, and the glowing tones of



lustrous silks have immediate tactile appeal. By association they conjure the opulence and magnificence of a world remote in time and space where seraglio, tea gardens, and court pageantry were a way of life and not simply diversions.

17th-century chinoiserie were based largely on undifferentiated notions of the East; exotic motifs were superimposed on the Baroque structures of the decorative arts. 18th-century decorators, on the other hand, threw increased knowledge of the actual Orient to the wind to celebrate the fantasy of a make-believe world inhabited by a pleasure-seeking, frivolous people dwelling in a never-never land of perpetual spring, where music wafting on a gentle breeze stirred unfading peonies and where picnic and dance continued unabated in the timeless afternoon.

By the late 18th century European attitudes towards the Orient began to change. Neo-classicism brought sobriety and restraint to the arts. The archaeological spirit which prevailed the neo-classical movement also applied more dis-


cerning and critical approaches to the Orient. For the first time accurate illustrations of the Chinese scene were widely circulated, revealing a world far different from the fantasies of Boucher and Pilement. As a result many late 18th-century chinoiseries maintain a faithfulness to genuine Chinese objects. Printed textile designs derived from the illustrations of William Chambers, designer of Kew Gardens, present stately figures who cope, as we must, with the physical laws of the universe.

By the 19th century China stood revealed in Western eyes as a backward country, sorely lacking the necessary institutions for commercial and political success. Yet the vision of Cathay survived. Oriental objects continued to be imported in great quantity to fill Victorian homes. Chinoiseries remained popular, if not so fashionable as they once had been.

The present century has witnessed an explosion of knowledge about China and its people, and increased appreciation of their philosophy, history and art. The first pre-Ming ceramics, paint-

ings, and sculptures to reach Europe in the late 19th century were greeted with puzzled surprise. Little did they reflect the vision of Cathay which had been recorded and preserved in chinoiserie. Only as sinologists revealed the complexity of China's artistic heritage and its long history of civilization did it become apparent that nearly all the Chinese objects exported to Europe since the 15th century were carefully designed to satisfy Western expectations of Oriental art. The Cathay of legend and travellers' tales is revealed as a European vision; however, this vision still exerts influence on us. The recent recognition of the People's Republic of China by the United Nations sparked a rash of Chinese inspired designs from fashionable apparel to reinterpretations of Rococo bedrooms. At this point one may well ask what effect the forthcoming Chinese Archaeological Exhibition will have on the West. The promise of a fuller understanding of China's rich archaeological past also invokes enchantment with the mysterious, exotic East.


Mr. Vollmer joined the Museum staff in 1968 as a secretary to the Far Eastern Department where he worked with the Japanese collection. Four years ago he transferred to the Textile Department to work with one of the major public holdings of Chinese costumes and fabrics. His researches in Oriental textiles range from the study of ancient Chinese weaving as preserved in the patinas of bronze objects, to East-West trade relations during the 16th and 17th centuries. The theme of this article will be the focus of a special exhibition of chinoiserie fabrics to be displayed in the second floor galleries from August 2 to November 16, as a complementary experience for visitors to the Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China.



Early 18th-century Indian cotton panel, based on a French design in the Bérain style, the little pagods in the corners appealing to chinoiserie taste.



The Growing Collections



In northern China clothing was designed to counteract the effects of a harsh winter. The practice of wadding garments with cotton or silk floss for insulation from the wind and cold continues today. From descriptions, paintings and documents, we know that fur-lined garments were common among the upper classes of traditional China; however, few of these costumes have survived. The recent gift of a woman's informal coat fills a long existing gap in the Chinese collections. This sumptuous coat is made of green silk damask and is entirely lined with downy soft Mongolian lamb; its collar is edged with squirrel.

The European Department's collection of bronze statuettes takes on new dimensions with the recent acquisition of a group of six dancing Bacchic figures with musical instruments, each figure bearing on its base the signature, "E. Piron." Eugène Desiré Piron is a shadowy figure in the development of modern sculpture. He was born in Dijon in 1875 and died by his own hand in 1928 at Aix-en-Provence. A member of the Société des Artistes Français, Piron exhibited numerous works at the Paris Salon early in this century, with a growing interest in classical themes emerging in his work during the years from 1906 up to the time of the First World

War. This is evident in the "Faune et Nymphé" and "Faunesse" exhibited at the Salon of 1911, and in the Dijon Museum's bronze "Faunesse aux Pipeaux," signed and dated Rome 1906, and the wooden "Bacchus Ivre," signed and dated 1908. The six dancing figures now in our collection most probably date from the period 1906-1912, when Piron was developing classical themes. These are in harmony with the *Zeitgeist* of the period which saw a growing interest in the dance,





reflected in sculpture in the small bronzes of Degas, and on the stage in the appearance of the Ballet Russe (1912 saw Nijinski's first dance, Debussy's "Afternoon of a Faun," at the Chatelet Theatre, Paris, on the same programme as Stravinsky's "Rite of Spring") and of the Terpsichorean achievements of Isadora Duncan.

Technically, the six Piron statuettes show a multi-coloured patina, perhaps a reflection of one of Piron's teachers, Barrias, who was noted for his use of combined patinas.

The European Department has recently acquired a fine example of a Central European rococo style clock produced at the Vienna Factory, c. 1760. The clock case, 29.5 cm. high, is of undecorated white porcelain which shows to advantage the modelling of the four fauns engaged in festooning rococo scrolls with grapes. The four scrolls framing the clock face are reminiscent of the earlier baroque which is characteristic of the Vienna Factory's somewhat reactionary approach to design.

This clock is a major addition to the Museum's collection of Conti-

mental ceramics, which already includes several comparable pieces of Vienna porcelain in white. The movement, which is in working order, is French, and perhaps slightly later than the case. After minor repairs and cleaning by the Conservation Department, it is now ready to take its place in the new Clock Gallery when it opens.



Doorways to Antiquity



Early Iranian Settlements Surrender Some of Their Secrets

Louis D. Levine

It all seemed very familiar when we returned to Seh Gabi in May 1973. We had managed to rent the same house in the town of Kangavar that we had occupied during the 1971 season, the same workmen came out of the village to greet us and sign on to the crew, and little had changed on the landscape. We had even managed to bring back all of the professional staff from the 1971 season, although this time we had augmented it with three others, so we had high hopes of getting a lot more done this season.

But we are getting ahead of ourselves. Readers of *Rotunda* will remember that the ROM's Godin

Project had seen its first season of excavation at the site of Seh Gabi from May to August in the summer of 1971 (*Rotunda*, Winter, 1973). At that time, we had learned that the site was composed of six separate mounds, and that these represented early village settlements in western Iran dating from about 5000-3200 B.C. Most of our work had been concentrated on the settlements that were occupied in the earlier part of this time range, and we had managed to clear at least one house from about 3800 B.C. But the end of that first season had left us very unsatisfied. We knew a great deal more than we had known

when we started, but so many questions remained unanswered that we knew we would have to return. And so, there we were, two years later, same time, same station.

This year, however, we were determined to excavate two of the other mounds extensively, in the hope of getting a good deal more information about the period between 3800 and 3200 B.C. We suspected that this information would be found on Mounds A and E, so it was there that we put in our initial soundings. Mary McDonald began work on Mound A, while Carol Hamlin, Bram van As, Isobel Heathcote and Lee

Horne started on Mound E. Surface indications from the mound and some test trenches put in during the 1971 season indicated that Mound E, although the smaller of the two, was occupied for a longer period of time. Hence the relative disparity in the size of the staff assigned to each. We would make quick work of E, and then move on to other things on A, and perhaps elsewhere at Seh Gabi.

Somehow, we had forgotten the lesson of the first season. Simply stated, it is that whatever the plans you made for Seh Gabi, do otherwise once you get there. We opened an area of some 200 square metres on Mound E in the first weeks. As any good archaeologist knows, it is virtually impossible to open this much area without encountering structural remains of some sort. But for reasons still unclear the uppermost levels on the mound were devoid of any such structures. Not only that, but the first things which we did find belonged to the same period as the

material from Mound A, where Mary was working by her lonesome self. It appeared that we were not to find any material from the time range 3500-3200, aside from some broken sherds and an occasional stone wall foundation. Nevertheless, we decided to press on, and we were eventually rewarded with a set of badly preserved walls dating to about 3600 B.C. Unfortunately, these were poorly built, and so hard to see that it was only with the most excruciatingly patient work that they began to emerge. The entire area had to be excavated literally with a small hand pick and a set of paint-brushes.

But archaeology is full of surprises, sometimes from the most unexpected quarters. During the 1971 season, we had put a small trench into Mound A and found little evidence of any structures. Mary, during the first weeks of excavation, had managed to find a few scruffy walls, but nothing to excite the imagination. But just as

Facing page: The doorways of the burned room on Mound A. The feature against the wall is a storage bin.

Below: Features of the Godin landscape, looking east across the top of Mound B.




we began to realize that the results from Mound E, while possibly very important, were hardly spectacular. Mary came upon a burned wall and began to dig down to find the floor. She finally reached it, some 5½ feet later, and we knew that we had something important. As we emptied the room of its debris, a number of things began to come clear. The room had been burned, and the beams of the roof had collapsed onto the floors to be found as a mass of charcoal. Afterward, the standing walls had been used as a garbage dump. They were filled with trash and animal bones, and the deposit looked like that found today in similar abandoned structures in modern villages. As we cleared the floor of the room, we found a raised clay hearth in the centre, in the shape of a cross with a hole in its centre. This was virtually identical to hearths that we found in the poorly preserved structures on Mound E, and this along with the

similar pottery assured us that the two sounds were roughly contemporary in date.

The room held other surprises. When we came to the northern corner, we found that the doorway was fully preserved, including the lintel, and that it lead into yet another room to the east. At this point, we decided to switch the majority of our force to clearing the Mound A building, leaving only Carol to continue excavating in her "sculpture garden," as these poorly preserved walls on Mound E came to be called.

The preview into the stratigraphy of the burned room led us to suspect that the other rooms might have been similarly treated, and when we began to clear the room to the north of the burned room, we felt sure that we were correct in our assumption. Here we found the deposit sloping in to the middle of the room from both walls, as if trash were being poured in from above. We finally

came down to a layer of decayed reed matting, a rather strange phenomenon for a garbage dump which we found hard to explain. Our hypothesis was that we were dealing with an old reed mat that had been discarded on top of the trash, but some second sense led us to proceed carefully and slowly. The puzzle became more complex when we found another of the cruciform type hearths above the reed mat in the centre of this "dump," a rather unlikely place to cook. Just how the situation became clear when it did is still somewhat of a mystery, but one day, as we stood contemplating our "dump" and talking about it, all of the clues clicked into place. What we had was not a dump, nor a discarded reed mat. We were looking at the upper storey of a building that had sagged in the middle. The reed mat had been laid over the beams which supported the third storey floor, and the holes for the beams



were clearly visible in the walls. The hearth was just like the others we had found, but this time it was situated in the centre of an upper storey floor. Our puzzle was solved, but our amazement only grew, for it is an almost unheard of event in archaeology for the upper floors of a building to be preserved.

By the end of the season, we had excavated a total of 10 rooms in the building. None of the others had upper storeys preserved, but the structure was nevertheless most imposing. Walls stood to a height of six feet in some places, six complete doorways were preserved, and the whole structure was contemporary with the poor material found on Mound E. It will still be some time before we manage to analyze all of the pottery and other material found in these structures, but from the architecture alone it is possible to suggest that we have here an indication of the growth of a class structure in society. It has long been suspected that such a development was taking place in neighbouring lowland Mesopotamia at this time, but evidence for its presence on the Iranian plateau had heretofore been lacking. Thus, we had added a significant piece of information to the history of man's social development.



While we were exercising ourselves over the large building on Mound A, Mary had moved off to yet another of the mounds at Seh Gabi. We had taken note of Mound C during the 1971 season, and collected some of the surface pottery. But the mound was so small, rising less than a metre from the surrounding fields, and the pottery so unfamiliar, that we had not paid it much notice. During the ensuing two years, however, we became more and more intrigued by this mound, and so we decided to test it. Once again, fate smiled upon us, and soon after Mary began excavating she came upon the walls which indicate house remains. By the time the season ended, and it ended on Mound C, we had a small alley-way separating two

Facing page: A perspective reconstruction of the Mound A building. Note the cutaway section of the three-storey room at top-right, and the two cruciform hearths.

Below: The room in Mound C. The concrete-like bin is at the top; the plastered hearth is the semi-circular structure against the wall to the right.



Three examples of the previously unknown pottery from Mound C.



In 1965, Louis D. Levine went to Iran on a Fulbright fellowship and became involved with the ROM's Godin Project. A graduate of the University of Pennsylvania, he became an Assistant Director of the Godin project, joined the staff of the ROM in 1970, and is now an Associate Curator in the West Asian Department. Among his duties is the directorship of the

houses, and we also had the earliest remains yet discovered in the Kangavar valley. We are still unsure of the date of this settlement, but have provisionally assigned it to the period 6000-5500 B.C. The pottery, now that we have more of it, is no more familiar than when we had but a few sherds. Nothing like it has ever turned up in the area before. But we know that it cannot fall after 5000 B.C., for from that point onward we have a good picture of the sequence of cultures in the valley, and we also know that it must predate the Dalma period by some time, for at Godin there is yet another previously unknown period under the Dalma levels. Until we have tested the Radiocarbon samples from Mound C, we cannot be any more certain of the date than this.

The houses on Mound C were made of pressed mud, and contained few features. In one room, we found a series of bins made of a clay and gravel mixture that was almost as hard as concrete, and here and elsewhere on this mound we came upon a strange hearth with a floor that was plastered over a pebble layer. But life was relatively simple, and aside from the pottery and a few stone implements, little else was found. We do know that these people herded sheep, goats and pigs, and supplemented their diet with hunting. It is also reasonable to assume that they grew various crops, but the analysis of the botanical material is just now beginning.

The excavations at Seh Gabi are now at an end. We have not answered all of the questions posed

by the site, but it is questionable if we could do so even if we were to continue there for the next twenty years. What we have done is nonetheless impressive. We have added some 3000 years to the sequence of man's settlement in this important part of the world. We have been able to document the growing dependence of the settlers in this valley upon the resources they could control, and the concomitant decrease in hunting and gathering as a source of food supply. We have a picture of an ever-increasing social complexity, with the eventual emergence of classes in the period 3700-3500 B.C. We know a great deal more about the history of various technologies, including architecture, metallurgy, and animal husbandry, to say nothing of pottery. And finally, we have an emerging picture of the complexity of man's settlement on the Iranian plateau in late prehistory. We can show that the pattern of cultural connections was a constantly shifting one, and that any neat picture that we are tempted to draw will be simplistic.

But most important, we have provided a base from which we can proceed to ask much more important questions, questions that involve not only describing man's behaviour but also explaining why he did what he did when he did it. It is this last concern that has more than passing antiquarian interest, for to understand man and his motivations in the past is, one hopes, to gain insight into man and his behaviour today. And God knows that is something devoutly to be desired.

Seh Gabi project, though he has also dug at Hasanlu Tepe and Dinkha Tepe in Iran and in Israel. He has also done a good deal of work on the historical geography of ancient Iran, travelling widely in the hope of locating some of the places mentioned in texts from 1000-500 B.C., a project that began with Dr. Levine's Ph.D. thesis.




RÖM

The Chinese Exhibition

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China

The Royal Ontario Museum

August 8th — November 16th, 1974

"CAPTIONS FOR R.O.M. CHINESE EXHIBITION SLIDE SET"

1. DEEP POTTERY BOWL

Deep earthenware bowl with painted design of black bordered white eight-pointed stars on a red slip. A white slip shows at the mouth-rim.

Neolithic late 4th or 3rd millenium B.C.
Height 18.5 cm (7 1/4") mouth diameter 33.5 cm (13 1/8"). Excavated in 1963 at P'ei-hsien, Kiangsu Province, eastern China.

The remains of several neolithic cultures have been found in China. The inhabitants of the site in P'ei-hsien belonged to the Ch'ing-lien-kang culture, evidence for which was first discovered in 1951. Wood from one Ch'ing-lien-kang site has been dated by the Carbon 14 method to 3395 \pm 105 B.C. The inhabitants of the village were subsistence farmers, depending mainly on millet supplemented by hunting and fishing, but they also kept domesticated animals like pigs and dogs. In the Chinese Exhibition there are four beautifully painted earthenware vessels from P'ei-hsien.

2. BRONZE RITUAL VESSEL

A bronze vessel of the shape called fang-yi, or "square vessel".

Western Chou Dynasty, 10th century B.C.
Height 38.5 cm (15 1/4"). Excavated in 1963 at Fu-feng in Shensi Province, north-central China.

Bronze was the strongest metal known in China from its introduction around 1500 B.C. until the early use of iron around 500 B.C. It was considered a noble metal. Besides its use in weapons of war, vessels such as this were cast with consummate skill to be used in rituals and to commemorate men and events. This vessel was probably used in a memorial ceremony, and bears the inscription: "A precious vessel for the reverence of ancestors made for the august progenitor who died on the sixth day, that his descendants may treasure and use forever". T'ao-t'ieh masks decorate its sides. These are frontal imaginary monster masks sometimes made from the combination of two profile "dragons".

3. JADE FUNERAL SUIT

A suit to cover the whole body, made of rectangles of jade joined at the corners with gold wire.

Western Han Dynasty, late 2nd century B.C.
Length 172 cm (5' 7 3/4"). Excavated in 1968 at Man-ch'eng, Hopei Province, north-central China.

It was thought that the body of the princess Tou Wan would last through the ages due to the jade suit that enclosed it. Only a tooth and a fragment of bone remain. The suit is made of 2,160 pieces of jade, strung together with a pound and a half of gold wire. The search for physical immortality was popular at this time, and all bodily orifices of a corpse were plugged with jade, which was believed to prevent decay. Lady Tou's suit and that of her husband, the prince Liu Sheng, were the first jade suits ever found so complete and undisturbed.

4. GILT BRONZE LEOPARDS

Two bronze leopards, partly gilt and inlaid with silver, gold and with red gemstone eyes.

Western Han Dynasty, late 2nd century B.C.
Height 3.5 cm (1 3/8"). Excavated in 1968 at Man-ch'eng, Hopei Province, north-central China.

Chinese craftsmen have long been famous for minute and meticulous craftsmanship. These tiny reclining leopards come from a set of four associated with the tombs of the prince Liu Sheng and his wife, the princess Tou Wan, whose remains were enclosed in the jade burial suits. This wealthy couple's graves were a storehouse of treasure, including bronze and gilt-bronze vessels, jade disks and gold and silver acupuncture needles.

5. BRONZE FLYING HORSE

Bronze sculpture of a pacing horse, supported by one leg on the back of a flying swallow.

Eastern Han Dynasty, 2nd century A.D.
Height 34.5 cm (13 1/2"), length 45 cm (17 3/4").
Excavated in 1969 at Wu-wei, Kansu Province.

Wu-wei was a stage on the Silk Road, the route through western China and Central Asia by which the silks of China were carried to West Asia and Europe. In return, the Chinese received, among other things, the big, fast "celestial horses" of Sogdiana, just west of China's borders. These horses were as exotic in Ch'ang-an as Chinese silks were in Constantinople. Unearthed from the tomb of a military governor, this lively and powerful sculpture has become a symbol of the Chinese Exhibition.

6. BRONZE CARRIAGE

A bronze horse and carriage with standing figure of equerry and seated occupant of carriage.

Eastern Han Dynasty, 2nd century A.D.
Height of horse 40 cm (15 3/4"), height of carriage 43.5 cm (17 1/8"). Excavated 1969 at Wu-wei, Kansu Province, north-west China.

Along with the "flying horse", these pieces formed part of a large procession of bronze horses, riders, carriages and foot-soldiers arrayed on the paving-stones of a chamber of the grave of a military governor of the late Eastern Han period. Wu-wei was a stage on the fabled "Silk Road", and a military outpost of the government. The great western horses so in demand at the time were very important in Wu-wei.

7. MOUNTED HUNTSMAN

Painted earthenware figure of a mounted huntsman with his cheetah.

T'ang Dynasty, A.D. 706. Height 31.5 cm (12 3/8").
Excavated in 1964 at Ch'ien-hsien, Shensi Province,
north-central China.

Cheetahs were used in the hunt at this time and rode out on the huntsman's horse. Sometimes, no doubt, they were difficult to handle. The man is a Central or West Asian, wearing beard, broad lapels, wide trousers and belt, all peculiar in China at that time. Many foreigners lived in the T'ang Dynasty capital, Ch'ang-an, working as merchants, grooms, huntsmen, artisans or entertainers. Tomb figurines such as this, depicting the servants and pastimes of the deceased, were put in graves as symbols for similar enjoyments after death. This huntsman comes from the tomb of Princess Yung-t'ai, mistakenly suspected of treason by her grandmother, the Empress Wu, and ordered to commit suicide at the age of 19.

8. GOLD BOWL

A gold pedestal bowl, with repoussé lotus petal sides and chased decoration of deer, birds and flowers.

T'ang Dynasty, mid 8th century A.D.
Height 5.5 cm (2 1/8"), diameter 13.5 cm (5 1/4").
Excavated in 1970 at Ho-chia, Sian city, Shensi Province,
north-central China.

The excellent craftsmanship and clear and elegant design and decoration of this piece are typical of T'ang work. Such beautiful objects as this must have been common in the wealthy households of the capital, Ch'ang-an (now Sian). Many of the shapes, techniques and decorative motifs of gold and silver vessels of the time were learned from Sassanian vessels imported from Iran and from Iranian craftsmen who settled in Ch'ang-an, but the Chinese subtly adapted them to native taste. In this piece the beaded foot-rim and flowers scattered on a uniform ground are elements of Iranian design, while the animals and symmetrical flowering branches are in a Chinese tradition. It was found in a hoard probably buried in the mid-700's by a nobleman fleeing from the capital during a coup d'état.

9. BLUE AND WHITE PORCELAIN VASE

Octagonal vase of the shape called mei-p'ing, blue-and-white ware.

Yuan Dynasty, 14th century A.D. Height 51.5 cm (20 1/4").
Excavated in 1964 at Pao-ting, Hopei Province, north-central China.

Blue-and-white decoration was achieved by painting the design in cobalt pigment on the porcelain body, then the vessel was dipped in a transparent glaze, and the whole fired at a high temperature. The technique was first practised in China at about this time, but had already appeared in the Muslim world, from which the cobalt was imported. This piece bears a lively decoration of four dragons on a background of waves. The bodies of the dragons are in light relief.

China and the West during the periods covered by The Chinese Exhibition

(To be seen at the Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Aug. 8 - Nov. 16, 1974)

Period	China	The West
Palaeolithic about 600,000-7000 BC	600,000 BC Lan-t'ien Man. 500,000 BC Peking Man. 35,000 BC Homo sapiens at the Upper Cave, Chou-k'ou-tien. Cave-dwelling hunters and food-gatherers using flaked tools.	Man arrives in The New World 40,000-35,000 years ago. First agricultural communities in the Near East 9000-7000 BC.
Neolithic about 7000-16th century BC	Settled farming communities cultivating rice and millet. Domesticated dog, pig, goat and horse. Spinning and weaving. Painted and burnished pottery. The legendary Hsia dynasty.	Town dwellers using painted pottery in Anatolia 6000 BC. Sumerians introduce writing (4000 BC) and the wheel (3500 BC). Stonehenge built.
Shang 16th century BC - 11th century BC	Advanced bronze technology for the elite of the walled city states. War chariots, compound bow and dagger axe. Earliest script used on oracle bones and ritual bronzes. High-fired ceramics (Proto-porcelain).	Egyptian empire at its height. Tutankhamun reigns. Rise to power and destruction of Mycaenae. Fall of Troy (1193 BC).
Western Chou 11th century BC - 770 BC	Written vocabulary of ideographs greatly increased. Lyric poetry collected and written on bamboo strips (Book of Songs).	David and Solomon rule the Israelite kingdoms. Horse-riding introduced into Europe from Asia. Foundation of Rome (753 BC). Iron-forging spreads across Europe, 7th and 6th centuries BC.
Spring and Autumn 770-475 BC	Mid-5th century beginnings of iron metallurgy.	Death of Buddha 480 BC.
Warring States 475-221 BC	The Iron Age -- farming implements cast from moulds, although forging techniques also used. Crossbow and cavalry.	Athens at its zenith. Pericles builds Parthenon. Plato and Aristotle writing. Romans introduce coinage.
Ch'in 221-207 BC	China unified by the Emperor Ch'in Shih-huang. Great Wall completed. Weights and measures standardised. Water power harnessed to drive mills.	Hannibal crosses the Alps.
Western Han 206 BC - AD 24	Peasant Liu Pang becomes absolute monarch. Civil service examination system introduced. Hoarding and speculation gave rise to galloping inflation. 120 BC Salt and Iron industries become state monopolies.	Christ born in Bethlehem. Julius Caesar lands in Britain 54 BC. Roman Empire established.
Eastern Han AD 25-220	Paper made. Grid system for maps. The Seismograph. Magnetic Compass. Crank-handled winnowing machine. The wheelbarrow. Stern post rudder.	Britain becomes a Roman province, AD 43. St. Peter and St. Paul martyred in Rome, AD 64. Roman Empire at its greatest AD 117.
Three Kingdoms, Western & Eastern Chin, Northern & Southern Dynasties AD 220-589	AD 386-534 Northern Wei Dynasty in northern half of China. Indian Buddhist monk Kumarajiva taught at Ch'ang-an AD 401-412. Culture centred now in the Yangtze valley. Flourishing coal industry. Gunpowder used for fireworks.	Goths ravage Europe. Christianity accepted by Rome AD 313. St. Patrick arrives in Ireland AD 432. Angles, Saxons and Jutes arrive in Britain.
Finds from Sinkiang Province (from Han to T'ang) 1st century BC - 8th century AD	Flourishing overland trade between China and West Asia.	
Sui and T'ang AD 581-907	Chain suspension and segmental arch bridges. Mid 7th century the Buddhist monk Hsuan-tsang set off on his journey to India. Clockwork invented AD 868. The first wood-block printed book made. Towards the end of the period, money economy developed -- using paper currency.	Christianity arrives in England AD 597. Mohammed founds Islam faith in Mecca AD 628. Charlemagne crowned Holy Roman Emperor AD 800.
Five Dynasties and Sung AD 907-1279	Population over 100,000,000. System of movable type devised. The abacus invented. Pottery at its best. The Golden age of Chinese pottery flourishes under Sung court patronage.	Vikings land in Canada, circa AD 1000. Norman conquest of England (1066).
Liao, Chin and Yüan AD 916-1368	Ceramic industry blossomed -- first blue and white porcelain made. European merchants and craftsmen working in Peking (eg Marco Polo). Flourishing popular literature and development of the Chinese theatrical tradition.	Magna Carta 1215. The crusades. Florentine renaissance produces Boccaccio's Decameron, Dante's Divine Comedy and the paintings of Giotto.

The Chinese Exhibition

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China

The Royal Ontario Museum
August 8th – November 16th, 1974

TEACHING KIT

CHRONOLOGY OF CHINESE HISTORY

- * PRIMITIVE SOCIETY c. 600,000 B.C. – c. 2,000 B.C.
Palaeolithic and Neolithic Periods
- * SLAVE SOCIETY c. 21st century – 475 B.C.
HSIA Dynasty (pronounced "sha") c. 21st century – c. 16th century B.C.
SHANG Dynasty ("shong") c. 16th century – 11th century B.C.
WESTERN CHOU Dynasty ("joe") c. 11th century – 770 B.C.
SPRING AND AUTUMN Period 770 B.C. – 475 B.C.
- * FEUDAL SOCIETY 475 B.C. – A.D. 1840
WARRING STATES Period 475 – 221 B.C.
Note: Spring and Autumn and Warring States are often combined and called "Eastern Chou"
CH'IN Dynasty ("chin") 221 – 207 B.C.
HAN Dynasty ("hawn") 206 B.C. – A.D. 220
THREE KINGDOMS A.D. 220 – 265
CHIN ("gin-and-tonic") A.D. 265 – 420
NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN Dynasties A.D. 420 – 589
Note: At this time the country was divided politically into north and south and a succession of dynasties ruled in each part.
SUI Dynasty ("sway") A.D. 581 – 618
T'ANG Dynasty ("tong") A.D. 618 – 907
FIVE DYNASTIES A.D. 907 – 960
SUNG Dynasty ("u" as in "put") A.D. 960 – 1279
LIAO Dynasty (like "meow") A.D. 916 – 1125
CHIN Dynasty ("gin-and-tonic") A.D. 1115 – 1234
Note: Liao and Chin ruled contemporaneously with Sung, but in northern parts of China.
YÜAN Dyansty ("yoo-on" said quickly: "yuon") A.D. 1271 – 1368
MING Dynasty A.D. 1368 – 1644
CH'ING Dynasty A.D. 1644 – 1911
Note: The Feudal Period ended in 1840 but the Ch'ing Dynasty continued until 1911.
WARLORDS AND KUO-MIN-TANG REGIME A.D. 1912 – 1949
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA (Communist Party) A.D. 1949 –

- * These are the evolutionary stages into which society is divided by Chinese Marxist historians.

PRIMITIVE SOCIETY is one in which all members work and the fruits of their labours are shared.

SLAVE SOCIETY is one in which a non-producing class owns both the bodies of the working class and whatever they produce.

FEUDAL SOCIETY is one in which a non-producing class owns the land and allows 'free' workers to work on it in return for a part of what they produce.

The Chinese Exhibition

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China

The Royal Ontario Museum
August 8th — November 16th, 1974

TEACHING KIT

HISTORICAL NOTES

Palaeolithic approximately 600,000 - 7000 B.C.

The appearance of the earliest man, who was capable of shaping tools and had the knowledge of fire.

Neolithic approximately 7000 - 1600 B.C.

The beginning of settled village life, organized agriculture, domestication of animals and the production of pottery. The fast potter's wheel was in use by the later part of the period.

Hsia Dynasty ("sha")

Although this dynasty is mentioned in the earliest historical records, no archaeological evidence to confirm its existence has so far been discovered.

Shang Dynasty approximately 16th century - 11th century B.C.

The Shang Dynasty marks the beginning of the Bronze Age. The period is characterized by the ritual bronze vessels, the oracle bones on which appear the earliest written Chinese to have survived, and the production of high-fired earthenware vessels. The towns were enclosed by walls and contained large buildings that were probably ceremonial in nature. The kings and nobles were buried in elaborate tombs, often containing sacrificed human and animal victims as well as a wealth of other tomb artifacts.

Chou Dynasty c. 11th century - 221 B.C.

This long dynasty during which the development of feudalism took place, is subdivided into three periods.

- more -

Western Chou, Spring and Autumn Period during which time Confucius lived, and the Warring States Period, when there was almost constant war between the numerous states. Iron began to replace bronze during the later part of the period as the chief metal for weapons, tools and agricultural implements. With the breakdown of the central authority and the rise of the independent states many regional styles developed in art.

Ch'in Dynasty 221 - 207 B.C.

China was united under one ruler by the Emperor Shih Huang-ti.* By joining all the short walls built by the various northern states, he created the Great Wall and took other unifying measures of far-reaching importance. In fact he initiated the concept of a centralized China.

Han Dynasty 206 B.C. - A.D. 220

The Han Dynasty was contemporary with Rome. Chinese silks reached Rome by way of the Silk Route which stretched from the borders of China across Asia to the Mediterranean Sea. It was a time of progress and expansion. Not only do artifacts in jade and bronze, such as those featured in the show, come from this period, there was also large scale production of lacquer objects and ceramics. It was during the Han Dynasty that the practice of putting clay or wooden figurines in the tombs became common.

Three Kingdoms, Chin, Northern and Southern Dynasties 220-589 A.D.

Following the collapse of the Han Dynasty came a period of disunity, invasions and the rise and fall of many small states.

Sui Dynasty 581 - 618 A.D.

The Sui Dynasty was a short unifying dynasty. During this time the emperors improved communications by building canals

* pronounced : Sh Hwong-dee

and roads.

T'ang Dynasty 618 - 907 A.D.

The T'ang Dynasty is usually described as the golden or classical age of China. It was a period of prosperity, expansion and contact with other nations and this is reflected in the arts. Many of the tomb figurines are of entertainers, grooms and merchants from the interior of Asia. Persian influence is clearly seen in much of the metal work. The capital Ch'ang-an ("chong-on") (present-day Sian) was situated at the eastern terminus of the Silk Route and was the most cosmopolitan city in the world at the time, estimated to have had a population of about 1 million.

Five Dynasties 907 - 960 A.D.

The period after the fall of the T'ang Dynasty was another time of confusion, with a succession of small states and kingdoms.

Sung Dynasty 960 - 1279 A.D.

The Sung Dynasty was founded by a general who once again brought the country under the control of a central government. Mid-way through the period North China was overrun by the Chin Tartars and the Emperor was forced to move the capital south. Intellectual and artistic life flourished under the Sung Emperors and after centuries of steady development the production of porcelains reached a height of perfection.

Liao Dynasty 916 - 1125 A.D.

In 916, the Khitans, a confederation of tribes from north China, founded their own kingdom and shortly thereafter adopted the dynastic title Liao. Most of the territory they controlled lay beyond the Great Wall. The period is perhaps best known for the ceramics in which is found a blend of nomadic traditions and of influences from the great kilns of North China.

Chin Dynasty 1115 - 1234 A.D.

In 1115, the Jurchen people founded the Chin Dynasty. They subjugated the Liao and extended their control over much of North China. They were in turn defeated by the Mongol Genghis Khan.

Yüan Dynasty 1271 - 1368 A.D.

It was Khublai Khan, a grandson of Genghis Khan, who finally subjugated the Southern Sung Dynasty and established the Yüan Dynasty. During the Yüan Dynasty, the technique of underglaze painting in cobalt blue on ceramics was introduced, leading to the production of blue-and-white porcelain. Other arts such as painting and theatre flourished.

Perhaps because the Exhibition is archaeological in character and the earlier periods have thus been emphasized, nothing dating later than the Yüan Dynasty has been included.

The Chinese Exhibition

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China

The Royal Ontario Museum
August 8th — November 16th, 1974

TEACHING KIT

THE EXHIBITION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL FINDS OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

GENERAL

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds of the People's Republic of China is the first large exhibition of documented material to come to the West from China. It consists of objects, often precisely dated by tomb inscriptions and historical association, excavated from various parts of China since the founding of the People's Republic in 1949.* Within the main dynastic divisions, many of the exhibits fall into groups determined either by their place of excavation or by the technological process involved in their manufacture. For example, the objects from the tombs of the Prince Ching ("jing") of Chung-shan ("jǒng-shan") and his wife reveal the level of technical excellence achieved by workers in jade, bronze and pottery in Central China in the late 2nd century B.C. Stylistically, these contrast sharply with the group of bronzes of roughly equivalent date excavated far to the southwest in Yunnan Province. The Exhibition is, thus, of interest to scholars because it enables them to view, at first hand, objects of key importance in establishing both sequences of development in style and technology and the existence of local styles in various parts of China.

* The 25th anniversary of the establishment of the People's Republic of China will be celebrated on October 1, 1974, while the Exhibition is at the ROM.

- more -

But more important is the opportunity the Exhibition provides to everyone to learn about China and Chinese art. It covers a vast sweep of time from man's beginnings in the Palaeolithic era to the fall of the Yüan Dynasty in 1368 A.D. The Exhibition concentrates on the early periods of Chinese art. It contains a large number of superbly cast bronze vessels from the Shang, Chou and Han Dynasties, but other facets of the bronze-workers' art are illustrated such as weapons and beautifully inlaid small objects. The development of Chinese ceramics is demonstrated from the boldly painted Neolithic earthenware, through the development of glazed ceramics epitomized by the elegant Sung Dynasty pieces, to the exuberant blue-and-white porcelains of the Yüan period. Superb pieces of T'ang gold and silver, and a collection of textile fragments which was excavated in far western China along the Silk Route testify to the achievements of artists in other media. Figurines, weapons, tools and articles of daily use give insight into secular life, and aspects of court life are shown in reproductions of wall paintings. These reproductions are the only representatives of Chinese painting in this archaeological exhibition for, with the exception of tomb paintings, almost no paintings have survived below the ground.

The People's Republic of China is exceedingly proud of its heritage and the tribute it bears to the skill of early artists and craftsmen. Mao Tse-tung* has said, "Let the past serve the present", and this Exhibition admirably performs this function in teaching us both of the rich and glorious cultural history of China and of the great care taken by the Chinese people of today in investigating and preserving it.

* Mao Tse-tung is pronounced: Mao as in "mountain"
tse = dze, e as in "person"
tung = dung, u as in "put"

SUGGESTED READING

- Sullivan, Michael. The Arts of China. Berkeley ,
University of California Press, 1973
(rev. ed. of A Short History of
Chinese Art, 1967) \$6.95
- Fitzgerald, C.P. China, a Short Cultural History; 3rd ed.
Praeger, 1954. paper. \$6.75
- Watson, William. Early Civilization in China. McGraw,
1966. \$5.50; paper. \$2.95
- Harrison, John A. China since 1800. Harcourt, Brace,
1967. paper. \$3.15
- Terrill, Ross. 800,000,000, the Real China. Dell,
1971. paper. \$2.45

KEY TO NUMBERS IN "THE CHINESE EXHIBITION" CATALOGUE

<u>EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	<u>CATALOGUE NUMBER</u>	<u>EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	<u>CATALOGUE NUMBER</u>
1	1	52	56
2	2	53	57
3	3	54	58
4	4	55	53
5	5	56	54
6	6	57	52
7	7	58	55
8	8	59	59
9	9	60	60
10	10	61	61
11	11	62	62
12	12	63	63
13	13	64	64
14	23	65	65
15	24	66	66
16	25	67	67
17	26	68	68
18	27	69	69
19	28	70	70
20	29	71	71
21	30	72	72
22	31	73	73
23	32	74	74
24	22	75	75
25	21	76	112
26	17	77	76
27	18	78	113
28	19	79	77
29	14	80	78
30	20	81	85
31	15	82	114
32	16	83	86
33	35	84	87
34	34	85-86	88-89
35	33	87	81
36	37	88	82
37	36	89	80
38	42	90	84
39	43	91	79
40	44	92	83
41	45	93	90
42	46	94	92
43	47	95	93
44	48	96	91
45	49	97	94
46	50	98	95
47	51	99	96
48	38	100	115
49	39	101	97
50	40	102	98
51	41	103	99

KEY TO NUMBERS IN "THE CHINESE EXHIBITION" CATALOGUE

<u>EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	<u>CATALOGUE NUMBER</u>	<u>EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	<u>CATALOGUE NUMBER</u>
104	116	169	180
105	117	170	181
106	118	171	177
107-115	102-110	172	176
116	111	173	204
117	100	174	205
118	101	175	178
119	135	176	179
120	132	177-192	182-197
121	133	193-198	198-203
122	134	199-200	171-172
123	120	201	168
124	119	202	174
125-126	128	203	173
127	129	204	169
128	130	205	175
129	131	206	170
130	121	207	228
131	122	208	225
132	123	209	224
133	125	210	226
134	126	211	227
135	127	212-217	229-234
136	124	218	222
137	137	219-220	206-207
138	138	221	208
139	136	222	210
140	157	223	209
141	167	224-227	211-214
142	166	228-231	215-218
143	164	232-234	219-221
144	156	235	223
145	165	236	235
146	155	237	236
147	139	238	237
148	140	239	238
149-150	141-142	240	239
151	149	241	240
152-157	143-148	242	241
158	158	243	242
159	159	244	244
160	160	245	245
161	161	246	243
162	162	247	246
163	163	248-249	247-248
164-165	150-151	250	249
166-167	152-153	251	250
168	154	252	251

KEY TO NUMBERS IN "THE CHINESE EXHIBITION" CATALOGUE

<u>EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	<u>CATALOGUE NUMBER</u>	<u>EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	<u>CATALOGUE NUMBER</u>
253	252	317	298
254	253	318	291
255	254	319	286
256	255	320	287
257	256	321	288
258	257	322	289
259	258	323	290
260	259	324	301
261-262	260-261	325	302
263	313	326	303
264	263	327	292
265-272	264-271	328	304
273	262	329	329
274	306	330	330
275	307	331	331
276	308	332	332
277	309	333	340
278	310	334	341
279	311	335	342
280	305	336	343
281-284	314-317	337	339
285-286	318-319	338	344
287-288	320-321	339	333
289-290	322-323	340	334
291-292	324-325	341	335
293-294	326-327	342	336
295	328	343	345
296	278	344	346
297	279	345	347
298	280	346	337
299	281	347	338
300	273	348	348
301	274	349	349
302	275	350-351	350-351
303	282	352-353	352-353
304	283	354	355
305	284	355	354
306	272	356-358	356-358
307-308	276-277	359-361	359-361
309	285	362	362
310	293	363	363
311	294	364	364
312	295	365	365
313	296	366	366
314	299	367	367
315	300	368	368
316	297	369	369

KEY TO NUMBERS IN "THE CHINESE EXHIBITION" CATALOGUE

EXHIBIT NUMBER

CATALOGUE NUMBER

EXHIBIT NUMBER

CATALOGUE NUMBER

370
371
372
373
374-385

370
371
372
373
374-385

Nº 3091

The Chinese Exhibition

The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds
of the People's Republic of China
presented at
The Royal Ontario Museum
100 Queen's Park, Toronto, Canada

Admit One

~~Valid only for day and time shown -~~

CORRIGENDA

Map For Man ch'eng read Man-ch'eng

Te-an is wrongly placed. It should be marked south of the Yangtze River in Kiangsi Province, north of Nan-ch'ang.

Page 1 For Vice-président read Vice-Président

Page 3 For aôut read août

Page 5 For Foreword page 7 read Foreword page 9

For Préface page 7 read Préface page 9

For Cinq Dynasties read Cinq dynasties

Page 6 For Vice-président read Vice-Président

Page 16 Photograph caption, col. 1. For Wu-kuan-ts un read Wu-kuan-ts'un

Page 22 References. For VP Ku-chi-ch ui read VP Ku-chi-ch'ui

For SCS Yün-nan Chin-ning Shih--chai-shan

Read SCS Yün-nan Chin-ning Shih-chai-shan

For fa-chueh read fa-chüeh

 Entry 94. Delete CH 44

 Entry 115. For VIIII read VIII

 Entry 169. For F 10.III read f 7-10.III

 Entry 171,172. For (171 only read (171 only)

Page 23 Entry 257. For CF. WW 1973. 10.55 f 15 read cf.WW 1973. 10.55 no. 15.

 Entry 264. For KK 1959. 10,10.542 f 2:2,3-27,X:16
 read KK 1959.10.542 f 2:2,3.X:1-6

 Select bibliography. For Cheng Te-k-'un read Cheng Te-k'un

For M. Sullivan, Introduction to Chinese Art London 1961
 read M. Sullivan, The Arts of China, University of California, 1973

Page 45 Lines 1-2,8. For Ch'eng-tzu-yai read Cj'eng-tzŭ-yai
Page 50 Entry 23. For 1964-7 read 1954-7
Page 62 Col. 2, line 22. For (p. 75). together read (p. 75) together
Page 77 Line 32. For Ch'eng-tzŭ-yai read Ch'eng-tzŭ-yai
Page 86 Entry 102-110. For 1965 read 1955
Page 113 Line 1. For Ssu-ma Chien read Ssu-ma Ch'ien
Page 117 Co. 2, line 13. For Shao-hsing in Kiangsu read Shao-hsing
in Chekiang
Page 121 Entry 228 For 1951 Read 1959
Page 124 Entry 235, text, line 3. For near modern Hankow
read near modern Hangchow
Page 129 Col. 2, line 8. For intermittenlty read intermittently
Page 132 Entry 257. For at Turfan read near Turfan
Page 143 Entry 292. For Length 204 cm. read Length 240 cm
Page 145 Entry 304. For Length 240 cm. read Length 204 cm.
Page 159 Entry 373. For diameter 21.1 cm read diameter 12.1 cm

FRENCH TRANSLATION

Page vi For Cinq Dynasties read Cinq dynasties
Page viii Sect. 5, lines 18-19. For Sogdania read Sogdiana
Page ix Sect. 7, line 26. For d le Honan read dans le Honan
Sext. 8, title. For les Dynasties du Nord et du Sud
read les dynasties du Nord et du Sud
Page x Sect. 11, title & lines 1-2. For Cinq Dynasties
read Cinq dynasties
Page xi Sect. 12, lines 4-5. For Cinq Dynasties read Cinq dynasties
Lines 9-10. For appel-érent read appe-lérent
Page xii Col. 2, lines 9-10. For disseminée read Disséminée

FRENCH TRANSLATION CONT'D

Page xii Line 15. For l'establissement read l'établissement

Line 25. For avant JC read de notre ère

Line 39. For part read par

Line 54. For It eL est read Il en est